

# BBOB 2009: Comparison Tables of All Algorithms on All Noisy Functions

Anne Auger, Steffen Finck, Nikolaus Hansen, Raymond Ros

## ► To cite this version:

Anne Auger, Steffen Finck, Nikolaus Hansen, Raymond Ros. BBOB 2009: Comparison Tables of All Algorithms on All Noisy Functions. [Technical Report] RT-0384, INRIA. 2010. inria-00471253v2

**HAL Id: inria-00471253**

**<https://hal.inria.fr/inria-00471253v2>**

Submitted on 13 Apr 2010

**HAL** is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

# ***BBOB 2009: Comparison Tables of All Algorithms on All Noisy Functions***

Anne Auger — Steffen Finck — Nikolaus Hansen — Raymond Ros

**N° 0384**

April 2010

\_\_\_\_ Domaine 1 \_\_\_\_

 **apport  
technique**  




## BBOB 2009: Comparison Tables of All Algorithms on All Noisy Functions

Anne Auger , Steffen Finck , Nikolaus Hansen , Raymond Ros

Domaine : Mathématiques appliquées, calcul et simulation  
Équipe-Projet TAO

Rapport technique n° 0384 — April 2010 — [188](#) pages

**Abstract:** This document presents the results in the form of tables from the Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking (BBOB) workshop of the Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO), Montreal Canada, 2009. Each table presents the comparative performances of the algorithms submitted to BBOB 2009 on one problem from the noisy function testbed.

**Key-words:** continuous optimization, benchmarking

Anne Auger, Nikolaus Hansen and Raymond Ros are affiliated to the TAO team-project, INRIA Saclay – Ile-de-France, Université Paris Sud, LRI, F-91405 Orsay Cedex, France.

Steffen Finck is affiliated to the Research Center PPE, University of Applied Science Vorarlberg, Hochschulstrasse 1, 6850 Dornbirn, Austria.

## **BBOB 2009: Tables de comparaison de tous les algorithmes sur toutes les fonctions bruitées**

**Résumé :** Ce document présente les résultats sous forme de table du workshop Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking (BBOB) de la conférence Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference (GECCO), Montréal Canada, 2009. Chaque table présente les performances des algorithmes soumis à BBOB 2009 pour un problème de la suite de fonctions tests bruitées.

**Mots-clés :** optimisation continue, banc d'essai

This document provides tabular results of the workshop for Black-Box Optimization Benchmarking at GECCO 2009<sup>1</sup>. Twenty-one algorithms have been tested on 30 noisy benchmark functions in dimensions between 2 and 40. A description of the used objective functions can be found in [13, 8]. The experimental set-up is described in [12].

The performance measure provided in the following tables is the expected number of objective function evaluations to reach a given target function value (ERT, expected running time), divided by the respective value for the best algorithm. Consequently, the best (smallest) value is 1 and the value 1 appears in each column at least once. See [12] for details on how ERT is obtained.

## List of Tables

1	$f_{101}$ in dimension 02	7
2	$f_{102}$ in dimension 02	8
3	$f_{103}$ in dimension 02	9
4	$f_{104}$ in dimension 02	10
5	$f_{105}$ in dimension 02	11
6	$f_{106}$ in dimension 02	12
7	$f_{107}$ in dimension 02	13
8	$f_{108}$ in dimension 02	14
9	$f_{109}$ in dimension 02	15
10	$f_{110}$ in dimension 02	16
11	$f_{111}$ in dimension 02	17
12	$f_{112}$ in dimension 02	18
13	$f_{113}$ in dimension 02	19
14	$f_{114}$ in dimension 02	20
15	$f_{115}$ in dimension 02	21
16	$f_{116}$ in dimension 02	22
17	$f_{117}$ in dimension 02	23
18	$f_{118}$ in dimension 02	24
19	$f_{119}$ in dimension 02	25
20	$f_{120}$ in dimension 02	26
21	$f_{121}$ in dimension 02	27
22	$f_{122}$ in dimension 02	28
23	$f_{123}$ in dimension 02	29
24	$f_{124}$ in dimension 02	30
25	$f_{125}$ in dimension 02	31
26	$f_{126}$ in dimension 02	32
27	$f_{127}$ in dimension 02	33
28	$f_{128}$ in dimension 02	34
29	$f_{129}$ in dimension 02	35
30	$f_{130}$ in dimension 02	36
31	$f_{101}$ in dimension 03	37
32	$f_{102}$ in dimension 03	38
33	$f_{103}$ in dimension 03	39
34	$f_{104}$ in dimension 03	40

<sup>1</sup>see <http://coco.gforge.inria.fr/doku.php?id=bbob-2009>

35	$f_{105}$ in dimension 03	41
36	$f_{106}$ in dimension 03	42
37	$f_{107}$ in dimension 03	43
38	$f_{108}$ in dimension 03	44
39	$f_{109}$ in dimension 03	45
40	$f_{110}$ in dimension 03	46
41	$f_{111}$ in dimension 03	47
42	$f_{112}$ in dimension 03	48
43	$f_{113}$ in dimension 03	49
44	$f_{114}$ in dimension 03	50
45	$f_{115}$ in dimension 03	51
46	$f_{116}$ in dimension 03	52
47	$f_{117}$ in dimension 03	53
48	$f_{118}$ in dimension 03	54
49	$f_{119}$ in dimension 03	55
50	$f_{120}$ in dimension 03	56
51	$f_{121}$ in dimension 03	57
52	$f_{122}$ in dimension 03	58
53	$f_{123}$ in dimension 03	59
54	$f_{124}$ in dimension 03	60
55	$f_{125}$ in dimension 03	61
56	$f_{126}$ in dimension 03	62
57	$f_{127}$ in dimension 03	63
58	$f_{128}$ in dimension 03	64
59	$f_{129}$ in dimension 03	65
60	$f_{130}$ in dimension 03	66
61	$f_{101}$ in dimension 05	67
62	$f_{102}$ in dimension 05	68
63	$f_{103}$ in dimension 05	69
64	$f_{104}$ in dimension 05	70
65	$f_{105}$ in dimension 05	71
66	$f_{106}$ in dimension 05	72
67	$f_{107}$ in dimension 05	73
68	$f_{108}$ in dimension 05	74
69	$f_{109}$ in dimension 05	75
70	$f_{110}$ in dimension 05	76
71	$f_{111}$ in dimension 05	77
72	$f_{112}$ in dimension 05	78
73	$f_{113}$ in dimension 05	79
74	$f_{114}$ in dimension 05	80
75	$f_{115}$ in dimension 05	81
76	$f_{116}$ in dimension 05	82
77	$f_{117}$ in dimension 05	83
78	$f_{118}$ in dimension 05	84
79	$f_{119}$ in dimension 05	85
80	$f_{120}$ in dimension 05	86
81	$f_{121}$ in dimension 05	87
82	$f_{122}$ in dimension 05	88
83	$f_{123}$ in dimension 05	89
84	$f_{124}$ in dimension 05	90

85	$f_{125}$ in dimension 05	91
86	$f_{126}$ in dimension 05	92
87	$f_{127}$ in dimension 05	93
88	$f_{128}$ in dimension 05	94
89	$f_{129}$ in dimension 05	95
90	$f_{130}$ in dimension 05	96
91	$f_{101}$ in dimension 10	97
92	$f_{102}$ in dimension 10	98
93	$f_{103}$ in dimension 10	99
94	$f_{104}$ in dimension 10	100
95	$f_{105}$ in dimension 10	101
96	$f_{106}$ in dimension 10	102
97	$f_{107}$ in dimension 10	103
98	$f_{108}$ in dimension 10	104
99	$f_{109}$ in dimension 10	105
100	$f_{110}$ in dimension 10	106
101	$f_{111}$ in dimension 10	107
102	$f_{112}$ in dimension 10	108
103	$f_{113}$ in dimension 10	109
104	$f_{114}$ in dimension 10	110
105	$f_{115}$ in dimension 10	111
106	$f_{116}$ in dimension 10	112
107	$f_{117}$ in dimension 10	113
108	$f_{118}$ in dimension 10	114
109	$f_{119}$ in dimension 10	115
110	$f_{120}$ in dimension 10	116
111	$f_{121}$ in dimension 10	117
112	$f_{122}$ in dimension 10	118
113	$f_{123}$ in dimension 10	119
114	$f_{124}$ in dimension 10	120
115	$f_{125}$ in dimension 10	121
116	$f_{126}$ in dimension 10	122
117	$f_{127}$ in dimension 10	123
118	$f_{128}$ in dimension 10	124
119	$f_{129}$ in dimension 10	125
120	$f_{130}$ in dimension 10	126
121	$f_{101}$ in dimension 20	127
122	$f_{102}$ in dimension 20	128
123	$f_{103}$ in dimension 20	129
124	$f_{104}$ in dimension 20	130
125	$f_{105}$ in dimension 20	131
126	$f_{106}$ in dimension 20	132
127	$f_{107}$ in dimension 20	133
128	$f_{108}$ in dimension 20	134
129	$f_{109}$ in dimension 20	135
130	$f_{110}$ in dimension 20	136
131	$f_{111}$ in dimension 20	137
132	$f_{112}$ in dimension 20	138
133	$f_{113}$ in dimension 20	139
134	$f_{114}$ in dimension 20	140



135	$f_{115}$ in dimension 20	141
136	$f_{116}$ in dimension 20	142
137	$f_{117}$ in dimension 20	143
138	$f_{118}$ in dimension 20	144
139	$f_{119}$ in dimension 20	145
140	$f_{120}$ in dimension 20	146
141	$f_{121}$ in dimension 20	147
142	$f_{122}$ in dimension 20	148
143	$f_{123}$ in dimension 20	149
144	$f_{124}$ in dimension 20	150
145	$f_{125}$ in dimension 20	151
146	$f_{126}$ in dimension 20	152
147	$f_{127}$ in dimension 20	153
148	$f_{128}$ in dimension 20	154
149	$f_{129}$ in dimension 20	155
150	$f_{130}$ in dimension 20	156
151	$f_{101}$ in dimension 40	157
152	$f_{102}$ in dimension 40	158
153	$f_{103}$ in dimension 40	159
154	$f_{104}$ in dimension 40	160
155	$f_{105}$ in dimension 40	161
156	$f_{106}$ in dimension 40	162
157	$f_{107}$ in dimension 40	163
158	$f_{108}$ in dimension 40	164
159	$f_{109}$ in dimension 40	165
160	$f_{110}$ in dimension 40	166
161	$f_{111}$ in dimension 40	167
162	$f_{112}$ in dimension 40	168
163	$f_{113}$ in dimension 40	169
164	$f_{114}$ in dimension 40	170
165	$f_{115}$ in dimension 40	171
166	$f_{116}$ in dimension 40	172
167	$f_{117}$ in dimension 40	173
168	$f_{118}$ in dimension 40	174
169	$f_{119}$ in dimension 40	175
170	$f_{120}$ in dimension 40	176
171	$f_{121}$ in dimension 40	177
172	$f_{122}$ in dimension 40	178
173	$f_{123}$ in dimension 40	179
174	$f_{124}$ in dimension 40	180
175	$f_{125}$ in dimension 40	181
176	$f_{126}$ in dimension 40	182
177	$f_{127}$ in dimension 40	183
178	$f_{128}$ in dimension 40	184
179	$f_{129}$ in dimension 40	185
180	$f_{130}$ in dimension 40	186

Table 1: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{101}$  in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>101 Sphere moderate Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 4.0	1e-01 5.0	1e-02 5.6	1e-03 7.2	1e-04 8.4	1e-05 10	1e-07 11	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	4.9	32	78	119	156	187	253	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.6</b>	5.0	7.4	8.1	8.8	10	12	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	4.9	108	149	163	186	167	192	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	142	249	1939	10146	<i>14e-2/4e3</i>	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.3	<b>2.0</b>	3.9	5.5	5.8	6.3	6.1	<b>7.2</b>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	57	33	40	46	49	45	46	51	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	5.4	20	26	34	34	37	44	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	3.9	13	18	22	27	31	59	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.2	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	6.2	22	27	22	19	17	17	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.9</b>	4.0	5.9	6.5	6.6	7.2	8.4	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	4.3	6.3	6.2	7.3	7.8	9.2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	5.1	13	22	29	30	27	26	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.2</b>	21	206	1214	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.3	<b>2.3</b>	3.5	5.2	5.3	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.0</b>	7.5	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	3.6	13	31	52	73	88	126	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.6</b>	11	40	113	183	241	325	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	3.7	34	327	3150	17840	3.13e5	<i>17e-6/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.9</b>	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	6.0	14	17	16	15	15	15	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 2: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{102}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>102 Sphere moderate unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 3.5	1e-01 5.4	1e-02 8.3	1e-03 10	1e-04 11	1e-05 12	1e-07 16	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	4.4	20	68	91	124	154	188	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	3.4	4.4	5.0	6.0	7.1	8.1	8.7	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	5.8	9.0	71	98	92	88	77	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	99	337	1033	<i>11e-2/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>3.0</b>	3.8	4.3	4.5	4.9	<b>5.3</b>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	13	70	57	50	43	44	44	44	47	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	5.0	13	17	24	24	28	34	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	3.6	10	12	17	22	27	43	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	4.0	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	5.0	18	18	17	15	13	11	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	3.3	4.1	4.3	4.8	5.5	6.0	6.7	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	5.3	3.2	4.9	5.8	6.2	6.6	6.8	7.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	5.8	11	15	21	22	21	19	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.4</b>	197	349	3574	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.3</b>	3.2	3.2	4.0	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.9</b>	5.4	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.9</b>	11	19	40	50	64	90	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	3.2	6.5	14	32	82	144	182	243	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	4.5	25	142	3105	11406	2.62e5	<i>12e-6/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.3</b>	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	7.0	12	12	12	11	12	12	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 3: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{103}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>103 Sphere moderate Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 3.9	1e-01 4.7	1e-02 4.7	1e-03 4.7	1e-04 4.9	1e-05 4.9	1e-07 6.8	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.1	4.6	44	108	191	313	416	6662	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.3</b>	5.6	7.8	12	16	183	335	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.5</b>	81	180	194	198	214	266	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	8.6	3.5	4.5	4.6	4.6	4.4	<b>4.4</b>	<b>3.2</b>	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	4.0	6.0	13	30	77	152	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	42	22	37	126	257	1022	3029	18712	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.7</b>	13	24	34	62	89	228	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	3.4	8.9	20	31	60	195	12992	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.3	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.2</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	3.1	23	30	34	33	39	52	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.6</b>	4.1	6.7	9.2	13	231	860	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	4.2	3.3	5.3	7.9	11	14	18	19	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	3.8	14	27	47	60	72	63	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	104	125	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	4.2	7.2	11	36	78	291	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	3.4	3.4	11	37	102	238	775	28057	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	3.8	17	55	213	3422	5704	42996	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>3.0</b>	34	316	3730	31604	3.08e5	<i>96e-7/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	6.7	14	21	24	26	29	29	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 4: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{104}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

	<b>104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss</b>										
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>2.3</b>	3.3	11	20	5.5	11	19	28	38	58	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.9</b>	3.4	5.8	6.5	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.2</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	3.1	<b>2.8</b>	5.8	28	32	<i>12e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	139	105	193	390	218	<i>60e-2/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	4.9	3.7	8.7	12	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.4</b>	3.0	3.0	3.2	3.3	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	59	27	89	162	94	129	219	878	2107	43586	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	15	19	4.6	6.4	8.4	14	23	<i>64e-7/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.7</b>	5.8	12	7.1	18	36	51	65	97	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	4.9	<b>2.5</b>	6.2	10	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	9.0	14	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	3.9	<b>2.6</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.9</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.3	<b>2.1</b>	4.9	21	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.7	7.7	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	7.7	8.9	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.6</b>	3.3	3.4	3.5	3.9	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	5.7	40	80	493	2877	<i>20e-5/3e4</i>	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	4.8	4.0	5.1	12	<b>1.8</b>	10	21	142	241	3027	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.9</b>	5.3	8.2	3.2	5.0	8.1	13	17	28	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.9</b>	3.3	6.6	14	4.5	8.9	27	42	59	87	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.3</b>	7.0	17	8.6	120	1591	13241	1.13e5	<i>11e-5/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.7</b>	4.5	13	28	50	87	136	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	10	3.9	8.2	14	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.7</b>	3.0	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 5: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{105}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>2.2</b>	<b>3.0</b>	4.1	22	3.7	8.0	13	19	26	38	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	5.6	124	5.6	5.8	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	3.9	<b>2.3</b>	8.7	29	14	71	<i>12e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	64	54	141	337	71	219	<i>32e-2/3e3</i>	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.2	<b>2.2</b>	11	16	<b>2.0</b>	3.9	6.6	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.7</b>	<b>6.6</b>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	53	29	93	301	55	104	347	1197	2267	56096	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>2.3</b>	3.4	5.8	14	<b>1.5</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>5.8</b>	7.4	18	147	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	4.3	3.5	6.1	12	<b>2.0</b>	8.7	19	29	41	60	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	4.8	3.3	4.8	19	<b>2.7</b>	8.3	11	14	17	48	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	3.3	<b>2.8</b>	4.7	15	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>3.2</b>	24	7.2	8.0	8.0	8.0	8.0	7.9	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	6.0	<b>2.9</b>	38	53	6.4	9.3	9.3	9.2	9.1	9.0	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	4.3	3.0	5.9	11	<b>1.6</b>	4.7	6.6	8.6	8.7	8.7	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.2	26	116	304	<i>43e-5/3e4</i>	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	3.9	<b>2.3</b>	10	18	3.1	10	31	82	220	2006	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	3.2	<b>2.5</b>	4.7	11	<b>1.8</b>	<b>3.6</b>	6.4	9.1	13	19	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.8</b>	4.9	<b>8.8</b>	<b>2.3</b>	8.7	19	31	39	52	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.7	<b>2.6</b>	7.3	32	8.3	68	549	7394	<i>14e-5/1e6</i>	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	5.6	7.6	12	22	34	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	10	3.9	9.0	13	3.5	8.2	8.2	12	12	14	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 6: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{106}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	3.2	3.2	10	15	7.2	8.2	14	25	72	1447	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.9</b>	4.6	<b>5.8</b>	16	13	11	11	17	27	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.3</b>	6.1	31	17	95	161	<i>66e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	16	10	13	13	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.4</b>	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.2	<b>2.5</b>	5.0	6.4	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>3.0</b>	5.0	6.6	16	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	56	28	96	164	105	165	151	764	3097	<i>30e-7/8e5</i>	DASA [17]
DEPSO	4.4	6.0	17	19	5.1	5.6	10	18	40	<i>11e-5/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3.1	<b>1.9</b>	5.0	9.3	5.1	12	19	27	43	1019	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	8.8	4.0	5.0	<b>5.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.2</b>	3.4	7.4	11	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.9</b>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.5</b>	5.2	61	16	17	16	15	20	43	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.0	<b>2.7</b>	5.2	11	4.4	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.6</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	4.2	<b>2.8</b>	5.7	10	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.1</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	8.0	39	76	207	748	<i>11e-5/3e4</i>	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	5.6	3.8	5.1	10	3.3	8.7	19	90	200	4385	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>3.3</b>	9.0	4.1	5.0	8.0	37	138	507	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	3.3	3.0	10	11	7.3	11	52	66	152	934	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.2	<b>2.2</b>	6.3	22	20	130	1462	8334	58914	<i>12e-5/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>3.5</b>	6.9	<b>2.6</b>	7.7	12	28	74	<i>63e-5/3e3</i>	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	10	3.9	10	17	3.8	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.5</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 7: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{107}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>107 Sphere Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 6.6	1e-01 14	1e-02 57	1e-03 79	1e-04 101	1e-05 128	1e-07 312	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	3.5	12	10	12	15	16	10	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>4.0</b>	4.2	4.4	4.7	4.7	<b>3.0</b>	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	98	109	303	876	659	516	407	<i>65e-3/4e3</i>	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	35	7.2	7.7	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.3</b>	3.7	4.3	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	210	134	332	194	440	551	1407	2772	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	4.8	6.5	<b>3.0</b>	3.8	3.7	3.6	<b>2.1</b>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.9</b>	4.9	<b>2.5</b>	4.4	6.4	9.3	6.7	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	12	10	21	6.9	10	18	19	19	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.7</b>	6.9	4.8	4.4	4.0	4.6	5.4	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	47	33	8.4	6.2	5.0	11	4.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	41	16	8.5	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	4.6	<b>2.8</b>	3.8	3.9	4.5	<b>2.0</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	18	57	168	1384	<i>86e-6/3e4</i>	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	8.2	8.1	8.3	4.3	3.6	3.3	<b>3.2</b>	3.1	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.3</b>	4.2	3.2	4.9	6.2	7.1	4.6	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.1</b>	5.1	5.2	8.5	16	19	13	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	13	19	281	2384	17988	47286	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	6.6	6.6	6.5	6.2	6.4	4.9	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	80	42	18	16	12	10	4.2	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 8: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{108}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 15	1e-01 101	1e-02 713	1e-03 1711	1e-04 3496	1e-05 5097	1e-07 9645	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.2</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.0</b>	32	6.2	4.3	3.3	4.2	6.1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.1	10	18	18	16	<i>86e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	29	6.8	4.2	5.0	7.0	<i>43e-3/800</i>	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	55	14	8.8	4.5	11	20	<i>14e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	172	93	88	66	100	224	1632	<i>99e-6/6e5</i>	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.4</b>	5.4	<i>26e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.0</b>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	62	53	42	42	<i>22e-3/7e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	4.8	7.9	<i>34e-4/2e3</i>	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	48	20	8.0	10	7.9	7.2	6.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	601	73	29	6.5	4.5	3.3	3.9	16	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.3	<b>2.8</b>	5.5	11	104	<i>43e-5/3e4</i>	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	36	9.4	9.0	3.4	3.9	11	22	330	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.5</b>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	5.6	3.8	3.3	<b>2.3</b>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.0	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.7</b>	8.9	49	349	<i>17e-6/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	3.6	<b>2.8</b>	10	10	<i>58e-4/3e3</i>	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	46	16	9.4	5.4	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.2</b>	3.6	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 9: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{109}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>109 Sphere Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 4.8	1e-01 6.3	1e-02 34	1e-03 34	1e-04 48	1e-05 48	1e-07 48	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.1	4.3	25	11	60	334	2415	74849	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	3.0	4.6	14	36	54	103	285	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	4.5	12	9.2	13	12	17	28	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	15	8.3	7.6	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	4.0	<b>2.5</b>	9.3	16	61	240	2957	<i>49e-6/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	96	207	472	437	5655	42256	1.86e5	<i>15e-5/6e5</i>	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	5.6	18	7.5	13	23	75	<i>15e-6/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	3.1	8.8	4.8	45	492	3779	<i>13e-6/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	4.0	<b>1.2</b>	3.3	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	18	7.8	12	21	61	<i>15e-6/2e3</i>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>3.0</b>	13	29	35	101	322	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.3	<b>2.5</b>	3.8	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>4.5</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	10	4.5	9.4	11	16	23	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	15	35	31	38	87	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.4	<b>1.8</b>	4.6	3.1	31	142	854	50142	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.0	<b>2.5</b>	12	9.4	511	1103	4655	<i>17e-6/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.1</b>	12	229	1507	8500	13675	29299	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.7</b>	23	58	490	2967	66530	<i>15e-6/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	16	24	39	209	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	5.0	11	3.0	4.1	3.8	4.7	6.2	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 10: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{110}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.0</b>	6.8	7.1	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	4.2	<b>2.0</b>	<b>4.2</b>	67	22	16	9.2	4.9	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.4</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	5.7	19	10	44	<i>13e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	41	34	62	89	43	41	<i>52e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.4	<b>2.5</b>	<b>3.2</b>	9.3	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.4</b>	5.8	5.8	32	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	128	140	151	113	32	47	105	187	268	2085	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	11	6.9	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<i>17e-6/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.7</b>	5.3	6.4	<b>1.6</b>	3.2	3.3	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.6</b>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	21	17	26	17	<b>2.8</b>	8.5	<i>62e-4/6e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.0</b>	4.9	<b>4.7</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.0</b>	6.1	<i>10e-3/800</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	101	22	9.0	6.6	4.1	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.3	4.2	4.8	28	4.5	4.4	3.8	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	3.0	<b>2.4</b>	6.1	5.8	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	10	93	<i>18e-4/3e4</i>	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	3.9	5.9	4.9	6.9	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.8</b>	6.4	7.2	10	49	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	3.1	<b>1.9</b>	5.8	6.6	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.1</b>	7.5	12	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.8</b>	3.1	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>3.0</b>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.1	<b>2.7</b>	5.5	11	5.9	22	176	656	3560	<i>65e-6/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	3.1	<b>2.4</b>	5.6	7.8	<b>2.8</b>	3.4	5.3	16	<i>57e-4/3e3</i>	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	10	3.5	5.9	<b>5.3</b>	7.3	9.0	5.5	3.3	<b>2.3</b>	3.2	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 11: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{111}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.9</b>	4.1	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	7.6	8.3	6.3	5.5	5.5	3.2	<b>2.1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	6.4	36	10	<i>35e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	7.8	8.4	7.7	8.2	<i>59e-2/700</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	4.1	<b>1.8</b>	7.4	6.5	4.0	3.6	7.6	10	<i>64e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	228	111	124	91	88	39	114	294	<i>90e-5/6e5</i>	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.6</b>	3.3	5.7	5.7	11	<i>28e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.1</b>	4.7	5.1	6.4	17	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	94	37	26	27	31	35	<i>15e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	3.5	<i>54e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.4</b>	28	21	10	6.2	4.0	3.1	3.1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.6	<b>1.8</b>	11	16	7.4	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.8</b>	4.8	4.6	<i>11e-4/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	3.4	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<i>24e-5/1e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	4.3	5.5	56	<i>75e-4/3e4</i>	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	46	12	14	11	5.6	<b>2.1</b>	5.7	10	21	82	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1</b>	4.2	4.6	6.4	5.6	8.2	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.3</b>	21	3.2	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.9	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.7</b>	5.5	4.3	28	131	484	<i>14e-5/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	5.0	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.8</b>	4.2	10	6.4	5.6	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<i>10e-2/3e3</i>	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	10	<b>2.1</b>	78	62	22	5.6	4.1	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.5</b>	47	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 12: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{112}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>112 Rosenbrock Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.9</b>	9.2	15	<b>1.6</b>	3.5	7.6	78	880	47073	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	4.3	64	14	11	26	32	56	91	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.2</b>	4.5	27	4.8	<i>88e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	33	27	36	47	3.4	12	16	29	39	<i>14e-3/4e3</i>	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.4	<b>2.0</b>	4.3	13	<b>1.9</b>	<b>3.0</b>	13	115	<i>52e-5/1e4</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	43	23	142	158	26	71	270	3417	<i>19e-5/7e5</i>	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	3.6	4.0	7.4	13	<b>1.2</b>	3.8	8.7	<i>34e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3.0	<b>2.5</b>	8.1	9.2	<b>1.9</b>	6.0	23	285	2128	<i>12e-5/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	6.9	3.0	<b>3.1</b>	16	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.5</b>	7.4	13	25	53	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	3.3	<b>2.2</b>	8.1	16	<b>1.2</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.9</b>	7.7	7.0	<i>10e-3/1e3</i>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.7</b>	4.0	102	14	19	35	65	67	101	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.4	<b>2.7</b>	5.4	9.4	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	6.3	<b>7.7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>10</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	7.7	31	87	<i>11e-4/3e4</i>	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	3.4	15	<b>1.9</b>	4.5	16	95	798	<i>18e-7/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	8.1	10	<b>1.6</b>	4.6	89	360	971	<i>41e-5/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	6.2	<b>8.8</b>	<b>1.7</b>	6.2	46	232	1053	<i>10e-5/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.1	<b>2.4</b>	5.9	14	5.6	28	298	2776	9813	<i>17e-5/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.9</b>	10	<b>2.5</b>	7.0	62	58	<i>12e-3/3e3</i>	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	10	3.9	9.2	14	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 13: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{113}$  in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.2</b>	4.3	12	11	13	13	13	4.1	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.3</b>	30	26	69	69	69	20	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	26	24	81	91	258	<i>60e-2/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	40	21	12	7.4	11	17	19	19	19	4.4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	9.5	125	223	184	381	597	773	773	773	381	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.8</b>	4.3	5.4	5.2	5.8	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.5</b>	6.8	19	25	25	25	7.3	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>2.2</b>	11	11	4.8	17	26	47	47	47	15	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.9</b>	4.2	4.1	5.5	<b>5.7</b>	7.6	7.6	7.6	<b>1.7</b>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1</b>	20	13	10	10	10	<b>2.1</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.8</b>	3.3	4.2	13	32	27	27	27	27	5.3	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	5.6	7.2	10	10	10	<b>2.3</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	6.1	37	76	76	76	57	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	9.3	10	13	5.7	6.4	8.9	15	15	15	3.7	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	4.7	<b>2.6</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<b>1.6</b>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	3.4	<b>2.0</b>	<b>4.3</b>	11	13	13	13	5.0	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.9</b>	4.7	18	54	165	165	165	127	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	4.0	10	20	20	20	20	5.1	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.7</b>	25	63	52	43	43	43	8.6	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 14: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{114}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

114 Step-ellipsoid unif											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	3.1	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.5</b>	6.1	3.1	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.8</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.1</b>	38	37	23	<i>60e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	8.8	18	10	7.2	6.9	<i>49e-2/800</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.2</b>	27	19	8.1	6.2	4.5	4.0	4.0	4.0	7.9	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	101	248	220	222	106	90	125	125	125	158	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.3</b>	7.8	3.3	3.1	5.5	5.5	5.5	7.0	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>2.3</b>	67	91	95	40	18	18	18	18	<i>11e-2/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.6</b>	3.1	3.1	3.1	6.5	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	29	65	23	10	5.2	5.2	5.2	3.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>2.1</b>	10	15	29	4.6	5.3	3.7	3.7	3.7	3.1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1.4</b>	3.4	<b>1.2</b>	5.5	3.4	7.0	17	17	17	<i>38e-4/3e4</i>	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>2.2</b>	18	25	13	7.2	7.0	6.4	6.4	6.4	6.4	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	23	22	12	12	12	7.4	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	73	30	15	15	15	10	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.9</b>	4.3	4.7	4.7	4.7	20	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.5</b>	3.8	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.6</b>	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.4</b>	91	12	7.9	6.1	6.1	6.1	4.1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 15: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{115}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.7</b>	11	4.4	6.6	10	10	10	16	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>3.1</b>	7.5	4.8	4.4	4.4	4.4	11	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	7.4	31	28	157	144	144	144	104	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	13	23	92	145	200	<i>49e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.7</b>	5.0	14	<b>2.4</b>	4.2	16	16	16	25	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	16	39	144	423	111	228	585	585	585	1573	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	5.4	9.0	<b>1.6</b>	3.4	3.9	3.9	3.9	<b>4.3</b>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	3.3	4.3	<b>2.1</b>	4.3	17	17	17	64	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.5</b>	3.0	7.1	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.9</b>	8.0	8.0	8.0	9.3	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	4.7	6.6	<b>1.9</b>	3.1	4.6	4.6	4.6	13	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.4</b>	3.1	28	14	8.8	12	12	12	14	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.8</b>	4.1	4.8	7.3	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.0</b>	4.2	<b>3.9</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.4</b>	4.7	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	4.4	<b>2.5</b>	52	87	87	87	449	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.9</b>	3.4	5.8	16	<b>2.4</b>	3.7	5.5	5.5	5.5	14	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.5</b>	4.6	6.2	66	72	120	120	120	281	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.1</b>	6.4	5.8	3.0	44	166	166	166	378	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.0</b>	4.7	5.6	23	89	89	89	256	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	7.4	22	22	22	63	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	3.9	26	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.4</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 16: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{116}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

116 Ellipsoid Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.8</b>	3.1	3.6	14	23	33	45	56	30	27	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>2.8</b>	5.2	10	63	109	280	<i>28e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	30	35	60	408	<i>53e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	8.1	11	29	49	109	416	273	159	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	48	47	43	131	500	1036	1903	12708	18217	<i>29e-5/7e5</i>	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.4</b>	4.2	6.8	13	<b>15</b>	<b>24</b>	31	41	18	16	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.9</b>	3.6	5.1	18	30	46	64	76	29	27	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	8.3	15	11	17	44	106	317	<i>11e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.4</b>	4.1	3.5	<b>8.3</b>	<b>12</b>	37	28	31	10	18	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.8</b>	6.7	24	23	<b>19</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	11	8.1	17	40	41	32	<b>24</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>3.9</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>2.4</b>	3.7	3.3	11	23	43	49	51	22	15	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	13	86	734	<i>24e-3/3e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	5.9	3.7	<b>3.2</b>	12	20	64	129	502	352	1452	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>2.3</b>	3.7	5.9	35	124	102	84	79	28	20	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	3.4	<b>3.0</b>	13	414	280	235	187	178	82	63	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.5</b>	5.5	29	280	2694	9157	41251	<i>80e-5/1e6</i>	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>	5.0	<b>8.9</b>	48	105	123	<i>12e-2/3e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1.8</b>	4.0	67	75	55	50	39	35	13	16	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 17: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{117}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.3</b>	4.9	10	4.5	4.6	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.6</b>	17	42	<i>78e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	8.6	5.3	4.9	6.2	<b>2.7</b>	<i>40e-1/600</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	10	4.5	5.0	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	10	8.1	<i>32e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	90	80	58	51	46	118	251	<i>71e-4/6e5</i>	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	4.1	3.6	<b>1.9</b>	4.1	<i>46e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.2</b>	6.0	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.5</b>	3.7	5.2	5.9	5.0	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	55	41	25	14	31	14	<i>87e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<i>25e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	43	12	11	5.7	4.5	3.4	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	22	27	10	5.3	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<i>39e-4/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.5</b>	6.6	<i>27e-4/1e4</i>	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	4.2	8.4	<i>27e-3/3e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	3.1	6.0	6.2	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.9</b>	4.0	6.6	25	34	161	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	4.6	55	12	13	8.9	8.4	8.5	16	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	55	21	17	17	24	13	16	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>2.8</b>	3.2	4.4	31	93	504	<i>10e-4/1e6</i>	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	3.5	<b>2.6</b>	5.1	<b>2.5</b>	<i>51e-2/3e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	41	11	5.6	4.5	3.1	6.7	10	100	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 18: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{118}$  in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>118 Ellipsoid Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	6.3	10	12	13	20	12	60	631	2411	<i>44e-7/2e6</i>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	4.4	7.2	<b>3.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	4.0	6.2	14	20	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	3.1	7.7	5.9	28	66	113	<i>71e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	17	20	17	16	59	49	42	54	45	56	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>2.8</b>	6.9	18	11	21	12	45	455	<i>98e-5/1e4</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	16	19	127	111	238	119	1333	14760	<i>43e-5/7e5</i>	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	5.4	11	14	15	29	13	19	92	<i>30e-3/2e3</i>	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>2.8</b>	5.1	9.0	15	41	49	195	512	1777	<i>12e-5/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.8</b>	7.3	3.5	7.5	60	221	<i>40e-5/6e3</i>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	3.6	7.8	9.5	3.6	<b>3.1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.8</b>	4.1	4.9	<i>64e-6/800</i>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	3.1	5.4	<b>2.3</b>	3.4	8.8	5.0	8.5	10	14	34	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.1	9.3	17	6.2	6.0	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	5.7	7.9	6.9	6.2	7.6	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>3.9</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	41	38	152	1142	<i>27e-4/3e4</i>	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	3.6	7.8	17	19	41	43	143	1179	5450	<i>12e-6/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>2.1</b>	5.0	10	9.4	42	80	195	653	1186	<i>33e-5/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	3.2	7.7	12	149	136	176	370	2158	<i>88e-5/1e5</i>	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>2.6</b>	8.4	14	46	252	336	3452	<i>64e-5/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	4.1	6.7	19	108	95	<i>32e-4/3e3</i>	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>2.9</b>	9.1	14	3.7	<b>3.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 19: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{119}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

119 Sum of different powers Gauss											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.70	1e+00 5.4	1e-01 36	1e-02 163	1e-03 520	1e-04 950	1e-05 2384	1e-07 5041	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.9</b>	3.8	3.0	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	8.5	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	78	13	6.8	3.3	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	6.3	4.4	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.1</b>	4.4	3.9	<i>18e-5/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	12	43	58	159	310	<i>13e-2/4e3</i>	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	12	7.9	3.9	<b>1.6</b>	3.0	<b>2.4</b>	6.6	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	91	283	167	193	204	330	600	1771	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.5</b>	3.6	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>79e-7/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.7</b>	3.1	4.4	<b>2.9</b>	32	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	3.2	8.7	4.8	7.3	11	15	38	<i>32e-5/6e3</i>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	4.1	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<i>32e-5/700</i>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.1</b>	7.8	6.6	4.5	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.2</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>2.1</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	21	145	<i>18e-4/3e4</i>	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	11	22	7.3	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.1</b>	3.1	3.3	11	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	3.0	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.3</b>	4.4	47	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	3.3	<b>3.0</b>	3.6	4.1	4.0	45	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.1</b>	6.8	41	376	2512	<i>18e-5/1e6</i>	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.3</b>	3.2	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	4.0	<b>2.6</b>	7.4	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	4.2	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.4</b>	6.6	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 20: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{120}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

120 Sum of different powers unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.70	1e+00 5.7	1e-01 187	1e-02 1257	1e-03 5027	1e-04 12965	1e-05 47271	1e-07 5.73e5	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	6.4	7.3	5.5	7.1	5.5	<b>3.4</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	3.1	22	<i>21e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	7.6	20	14	10	<i>13e-2/800</i>	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	13	3.4	9.0	30	<i>57e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	72	86	307	61	73	177	205	183	<i>89e-5/6e5</i>	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	3.2	<b>2.2</b>	4.0	<i>14e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.3</b>	3.4	<i>10e-6/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	20	48	77	17	76	<i>44e-3/7e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	3.8	<i>13e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	57	26	14	8.6	14	13	<b>26</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>3.0</b>	35	14	5.1	<b>2.8</b>	11	<i>36e-5/1e4</i>	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<i>99e-6/1e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.8	3.1	14	23	<i>38e-4/3e4</i>	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	11	25	4.8	5.3	5.8	22	18	<i>50e-7/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.4</b>	39	7.0	3.3	3.1	<b>3.3</b>	<i>12e-6/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	3.0	<b>1.1</b>	7.0	3.7	5.8	3.9	<i>29e-6/1e5</i>	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.2</b>	5.9	15	246	<i>16e-5/1e6</i>	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.0</b>	3.9	<i>19e-3/3e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	4.0	20	5.9	<b>2.8</b>	3.3	6.4	42	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 21: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{121}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

121 Sum of different powers Cauchy												
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS-GA	1	1.4	2.0	5.0	9.5	16	90	677	3529	50e-7/2e6	ALPS-GA [14]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	1.4	2.7	1.4	20	30	29	38	155	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAcG	1	1.1	1.3	4.7	10	4.3	4.7	10	53	28e-5/2e3	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	10	22	34	16	13	27	46	48	78e-5/4e3	BFGS [21]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	3.4	7.9	14	18	61	187	62e-5/1e4	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	14	156	271	228	785	5455	12e-4/6e5	.	.	DASA [17]	
DEPSO	1	1.6	2.2	4.2	5.1	3.7	5.4	77	38e-5/2e3	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.6	4.0	3.2	13	179	1820	55e-5/1e5	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	2.1	4.0	1.6	1.7	1.3	8.9	15	25	34e-6/5e3	full NEWUOA [22]	
GLOBAL	1	1.3	1.8	2.6	7.6	4.6	10	21	59e-5/2e3	.	GLOBAL [19]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.4	1.7	2.2	15	10	25	32	64	247	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.2	3.0	5.2	1.8	1	1	1	1.2	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	1.6	4.5	4.0	3.7	4.4	4.2	7.1	38	MA-LS-Chain [18]	
MCS	1	1	1	1	1	89	512	23e-4/3e4	.	.	MCS [15]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1.6	3.2	4.8	4.3	8.7	58	322	5522	16e-6/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1.3	1.6	3.3	6.2	80	309	1859	2528	32e-5/1e5	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	1	1.2	2.2	3.0	7.4	211	400	411	1246	21e-5/1e5	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1.3	1.6	2.6	9.4	62	832	12626	26618	27e-5/1e6	Monte Carlo [3]	
SNBOFIT	1	1.1	1.9	1.9	2.2	10	73	24e-4/3e3	.	.	SNBOFIT [16]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.1	6.5	4.1	1.5	1.6	1.1	1	1.1	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 22: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{122}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>122 Schaffer F7 Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 1.5	1e+00 48	1e-01 515	1e-02 1081	1e-03 2135	1e-04 3329	1e-05 3549	1e-07 5640	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.8</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	14	3.4	3.2	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.6</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	3.2	<b>1.7</b>	6.3	<i>16e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	3.8	46	40	<i>47e-2/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	16	5.1	4.7	6.1	32	<i>48e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	30	318	170	151	630	4071	<i>87e-4/6e5</i>	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	4.2	<i>57e-6/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.2</b>	4.5	5.6	5.1	4.4	5.5	4.8	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	11	7.0	10	41	<i>59e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<i>64e-3/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	19	3.4	5.1	3.9	3.2	3.7	3.2	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	3.9	15	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	12	156	<i>18e-3/3e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.1</b>	40	21	3.5	3.7	11	34	286	1314	<i>29e-6/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	3.5	5.5	4.6	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.7</b>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	4.0	3.6	3.1	4.8	5.5	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.9</b>	29	919	<i>79e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.0</b>	3.2	<i>75e-4/3e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	27	7.4	4.5	3.2	3.5	10	50	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 23: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{123}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

123 Schaffer F7 unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 1.6	1e+00 106	1e-01 3186	1e-02 20054	1e-03 36080	1e-04 7.24e5	1e-05 1.49e7	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<i>29e-6/2e6</i>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	22	6.0	7.9	27	9.2	<i>98e-5/1e6</i>	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.6</b>	23	<i>10e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	6.4	7.1	<i>53e-2/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	20	7.7	10	3.6	<i>16e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	4.1	131	77	52	<i>40e-3/6e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<i>19e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.2</b>	4.0	<i>81e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1.1</b>	11	73	27	31	<i>36e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<i>16e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.1</b>	57	7.6	16	71	20	<b>1</b>	<i>11e-4/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	74	23	4.7	<i>92e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	7.4	<i>32e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	8.7	<i>58e-3/3e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	66	8.7	4.9	23	188	<i>57e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	3.2	3.9	4.3	19	<b>2.0</b>	<i>58e-4/1e5</i>	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	5.9	3.8	<b>19</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>47e-4/1e5</i>	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1</b>	5.3	97	<i>12e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>21e-2/3e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	46	5.1	<b>3.5</b>	24	36	<i>21e-5/1e7</i>	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 24: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{124}$  in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy</b>												
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS-GA	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.5</b>	3.8	112	2960	<i>10e-4/2e6</i>	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	11	5.5	7.8	17	<b>28</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>454</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	3.3	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<i>15e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	<b>1</b>	17	48	78	<i>53e-2/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.9</b>	4.9	3.7	57	106	<i>17e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	<b>1.6</b>	6.0	111	165	510	<i>32e-3/6e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]	
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	4.0	<b>2.8</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<i>79e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	6.1	851	<i>18e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.5</b>	5.4	6.0	31	<i>17e-3/5e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]	
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.8</b>	4.4	16	<i>98e-3/1e3</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.9</b>	5.6	6.3	15	23	41	<b>106</b>	666	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	4.9	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]	
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	27	108	<i>14e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]	
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	63	<i>68e-3/3e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]	
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	10	5.6	4.0	23	1527	<i>10e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	8.5	362	351	<i>13e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	74	277	<i>14e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	5.9	56	1872	11247	<i>78e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.1</b>	3.5	5.4	69	<i>32e-3/3e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]	
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	26	8.5	14	<b>16</b>	<b>30</b>	219	<b>337</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 25: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{125}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.50	1e+00 0.50	1e-01 0.50	1e-02 74	1e-03 575	1e-04 1228	1e-05 1927	1e-07 3778	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1	4.3	77	3.6	1.5	1.3	1.6	1.6	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	3.3	38	1	13	7.7	5.5	2.9	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.3	5.5	32	1.5	1	2.0	3.4	49e-6/2e3	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	11	87	727	40	49	46	59e-4/4e3	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	3.5	84	4.0	4.4	2.9	4.0	3.2	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	3.9	275	2398	64	91	89	116	218	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	1.6	5.6	115	2.5	1.7	1	1	1	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.2	3.9	52	1.4	1.9	1.9	2.4	2.1	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	1.8	7.3	66	2.5	3.5	2.8	2.7	12	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	4.7	56	2.3	1.4	1.0	1.5	1.4	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	4.7	502	13	20	11	7.2	4.2	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	2.7	198	4.3	5.4	3.6	3.3	2.4	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.2	5.6	44	2.0	4.0	2.3	2.1	1.9	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	1	1	1	1.2	8.0	10	13	15	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	3.0	22	132	5.5	4.2	3.7	3.0	6.5	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.1	5.1	43	2.2	7.4	5.8	6.1	3.5	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.3	4.0	32	1.6	2.5	2.5	1.8	2.2	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	4.1	53	3.2	4.4	6.5	12	156	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.2	4.9	31	4.2	4.3	2.9	2.4	1.6	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.2	2.2	44	1.7	9.3	5.9	4.0	2.4	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 26: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{126}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>126 Griewank-Rosenbrock unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1	4.6	47	1.3	1.6	1.2	2.0	1.4	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	5.0	41	8.3	10	7.1	9.1	5.3	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.1	3.6	339	37	7.6	8.2	5.1	26e-3/2e3	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	2.0	17	167	7.7	95e-4/900	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	2.8	231	1.9	3.9	7.4	6.2	27e-5/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	3.7	416	3427	50	59	60	68	79	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	1.2	4.7	64	3.6	3.3	4.2	2.6	1.6	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.2	3.5	33	1.2	1.5	2.2	2.8	3.2	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	19	132	557	8.9	17	27e-4/7e3	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	3.7	57	1.7	2.4	1.3	1.5	17e-4/2e3	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	4.7	956	20	10	10	14	8.4	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	18	590	7.8	7.4	6.6	5.0	8.2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.3	6.3	53	1.3	1	1	1	1	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	1	1	1	1.6	3.4	4.3	7.1	19e-6/3e4	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	2.1	39	225	2.5	4.7	6.8	8.3	8.0	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.3	5.2	57	1.2	11	7.8	6.9	4.2	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.1	6.7	51	1	4.6	4.4	4.1	2.6	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	2.9	31	1.8	1.6	2.4	4.2	13	Monte Carlo [3]
SNBOFIT	1	1	1.3	6.9	56	5.9	10	5.0	3.1	2.0	SNBOFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.2	2.2	67	17	7.0	6.4	5.4	5.4	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 27: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{127}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1.1	6.3	43	2.0	3.7	4.0	7.1	90	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.3	4.7	28	11	16	8.4	10	17	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.1	6.1	50	1	1	1	4.1	62e-6/2e3	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	5.2	54	584	15	45	27	16e-4/4e3	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	6.5	139	5.1	12	8.4	14	25e-5/1e4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	14	172	2172	86	212	125	438	2416	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	1.3	3.1	27	1.7	1.6	2.1	2.0	4.0	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.3	3.7	40	2.1	6.4	7.9	12	116	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	1.4	13	84	2.0	5.3	3.7	7.2	22	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.3	5.7	66	2.4	7.7	16e-4/2e3	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	2.8	27	7.9	10	11	13	38	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	4.9	47	2.8	3.7	1.6	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	7.3	44	1.3	3.3	1.9	3.2	20	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	1	1	1	3.2	5.6	5.8	6.4	42e-7/3e4	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1.9	6.3	64	2.2	7.1	5.4	8.4	88	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.4	4.3	35	2.2	30	19	16	86	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1	7.5	44	1.4	89	47	37	125	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	4.4	56	2.7	6.1	4.9	11	113	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1	4.7	36	3.8	11	5.5	11	10	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.2	2.2	48	7.5	26	12	8.0	12	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 28: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{128}$  in **02-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 21	1e-01 67	1e-02 157	1e-03 194	1e-04 205	1e-05 297	1e-07 310	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1.3	2.2	2.2	2.3	2.7	4.9	4.5	6.2	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	47	32	14	12	13	9.0	10	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.1	4.8	3.4	5.2	11	14	18	45	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	12	65	59	60	271	<i>20e-3/4e3</i>	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.9	16	6.7	3.0	2.5	2.6	1.8	2.0	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	50	167	137	72	75	100	178	400	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	1.0	3.9	4.4	2.4	2.2	2.4	1.9	2.4	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.1	3.5	2.2	1.7	2.6	4.9	4.6	5.8	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	3.1	21	13	10	10	13	11	15	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.5	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.0	109	79	41	33	32	22	21	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.0	28	41	21	17	18	14	14	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.7	1.5	2.1	1.8	3.2	3.7	3.0	3.2	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	1.4	2.1	1.9	1.1	1.1	1.9	8.8	82	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1.8	11	7.5	3.7	3.7	3.5	2.7	3.4	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.5	2.4	1.6	1.2	1.2	1.5	1.5	2.3	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.3	1.9	108	46	38	38	28	29	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	1.4	1.8	3.2	8.8	24	31	540	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.9	4.7	3.3	3.0	2.8	4.4	3.6	5.6	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	73	59	29	25	24	17	16	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 29: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{129}$  in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

129 Gallagher unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.90	1e+00 33	1e-01 110	1e-02 261	1e-03 951	1e-04 1622	1e-05 2972	1e-07 5330	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	57	48	41	14	14	10	6.1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	5.9	21	21	30	17	<i>16e-2/2e3</i>	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	8.0	5.2	5.4	15	13	<i>39e-3/800</i>	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	10	7.2	7.7	3.1	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	6.3	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	18	87	118	80	36	40	48	132	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	3.8	4.1	3.3	<b>2.6</b>	5.5	<i>93e-5/2e3</i>	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	32	38	18	59	22	18	32	<i>14e-3/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	5.1	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	56	30	31	11	12	9.3	5.8	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	20	37	35	11	11	5.8	3.3	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.7</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	3.2	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.1</b>	4.8	7.7	<i>27e-7/3e4</i>	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	7.3	6.1	7.9	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.6</b>	3.8	6.1	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	221	144	62	29	28	15	11	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.2</b>	66	140	53	32	18	10	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	3.5	21	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	3.6	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.6</b>	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	17	48	23	11	6.7	4.7	<b>3.0</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 30: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{130}$  in **02-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>130 Gallagher Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.50	1e+02 0.50	1e+01 0.77	1e+00 23	1e-01 99	1e-02 248	1e-03 399	1e-04 824	1e-05 886	1e-07 2221	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.3</b>	4.1	15	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	45	39	16	17	17	20	8.1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.9</b>	9.0	11	10	7.9	10	13	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	13	22	15	14	12	12	20	25	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	11	4.3	<b>1.8</b>	3.1	3.1	4.0	4.7	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	60	85	88	67	63	124	323	699	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.3</b>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.5</b>	6.2	7.3	15	21	141	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	10	5.0	3.1	3.9	<b>2.1</b>	3.8	6.2	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	86	72	38	27	22	21	13	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	7.8	14	7.9	5.9	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	4.3	17	50	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	6.8	4.1	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.5</b>	3.1	3.9	6.0	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	334	111	111	148	107	112	70	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.0</b>	157	203	171	109	134	83	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	4.1	4.0	15	38	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.1</b>	8.1	7.4	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	156	60	34	24	14	17	11	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 31: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{101}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

101 Sphere moderate Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.2	1e+00 4.4	1e-01 6.3	1e-02 6.7	1e-03 9.3	1e-04 11	1e-05 11	1e-07 13	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1.1	3.2	21	59	114	132	163	194	243	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.8	5.3	7.3	10	10	11	12	15	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	2.8	52	134	231	217	199	196	227	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	162	795	4125	<i>46e-2/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.1	3.1	3.6	4.8	4.4	5.0	5.5	6.5	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1.2	54	25	31	33	32	35	40	42	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1.1	3.9	11	17	24	25	28	34	40	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	1.3	7.3	12	24	41	69	97	149	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	4.1	1.7	1.4	1.4	1.1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1.1	2.9	17	16	16	12	11	11	10	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	3.0	2.9	4.4	6.4	6.3	6.8	8.1	10	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	3.1	2.8	4.4	6.5	5.9	6.9	7.7	9.3	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.1	2.5	8.2	15	23	20	19	20	20	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	1	1	1.4	2.3	2.0	242	1648	<i>96e-7/2e4</i>	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1.5	3.0	3.0	3.5	4.7	4.4	4.5	5.2	6.2	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.7	7.7	18	39	44	56	71	97	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.9	7.7	30	106	132	178	225	298	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.2	1.9	16	357	11151	2.66e5	1.34e6	<i>17e-4/1e6</i>	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1.1	1.8	1.1	1	1	1	1.2	1.5	1.7	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	8.5	10	12	11	11	12	13	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 32: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{102}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

102 Sphere moderate unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.2	1e+00 4.0	1e-01 7.6	1e-02 9.3	1e-03 11	1e-04 12	1e-05 13	1e-07 16	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	3.2	13	54	83	120	146	166	193	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	2.5	5.1	5.7	6.5	7.7	9.3	10	11	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1.1	2.6	17	115	104	191	182	210	182	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	6.1	139	1486	7169	<i>11e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	2.5	2.6	3.0	3.4	4.0	4.6	4.8	5.2	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	6.5	36	29	32	39	38	44	48	52	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	1	11	15	17	21	27	29	33	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	2.5	8.2	11	15	30	63	82	118	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	3.1	1.7	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	2.9	14	14	12	10	10	9.3	8.1	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.2	3.0	3.2	4.2	5.5	6.6	7.1	7.8	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.8	3.5	3.9	4.9	5.1	6.2	6.6	7.2	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.1	2.8	10	12	16	19	19	19	17	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	1	1	1.4	38	137	1073	5753	<i>26e-6/2e4</i>	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1.1	3.8	3.1	2.7	3.2	3.6	4.2	4.3	4.7	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	2.5	6.8	15	26	37	53	64	80	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	2.5	7.7	19	73	113	158	184	229	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	1.5	11	317	5720	2.96e5	<i>20e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1.1	1.0	1.5	1	1.2	1.4	1.6	2.0	2.2	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	10	10	9.4	9.5	10	10	11	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 33: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{103}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

103 Sphere moderate Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.2	1e+00 4.3	1e-01 6.4	1e-02 6.4	1e-03 6.4	1e-04 6.6	1e-05 7.7	1e-07 14	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	<b>2.6</b>	19	71	122	200	278	2008	2.45e5	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	<b>1.1</b>	4.9	6.4	7.6	13	57	91	342	623	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	<b>2.2</b>	17	138	309	322	509	441	327	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	<b>1.3</b>	3.5	3.7	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	3.4	3.2	4.9	7.8	25	40	66	170	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	5.1	59	33	49	127	435	2832	16068	1.03e6	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	<b>1.9</b>	12	17	28	64	112	121	194	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	6.7	11	21	101	730	8046	<i>69e-7/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	3.5	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	12	14	17	20	32	35	33	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	3.5	4.4	6.9	9.3	12	225	814	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	4.5	4.2	5.1	7.6	10	12	13	9.3	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	<b>1.1</b>	3.5	7.8	13	23	34	36	35	25	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	1	1	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.1</b>	36	87	86	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	<b>1.1</b>	3.3	<b>2.8</b>	3.7	7.2	14	70	160	877	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	<b>2.5</b>	7.5	18	58	343	1553	23324	<i>19e-6/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	6.1	31	95	247	4818	28143	<i>14e-6/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	3.4	18	316	12121	5.33e5	<i>14e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	1	1	1	1	1	1	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	<b>2.6</b>	9.4	12	14	16	19	20	13	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 34: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{104}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.6</b>	3.9	21	11	9.1	21	29	37	46	62	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	3.3	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.6</b>	7.5	18	141	<i>76e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	159	156	3210	<i>28e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.5</b>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	44	15	27	21	48	138	325	658	2905	<i>36e-7/1e6</i>	DASA [17]
DEPSO	4.8	5.2	8.7	4.1	4.9	9.2	44	137	<i>28e-4/2e3</i>	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3.0	<b>2.6</b>	7.1	14	17	33	44	60	78	111	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	4.1	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.0</b>	5.7	10	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.3</b>	13	5.0	5.0	5.1	5.2	5.3	5.4	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.0	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.7</b>	5.4	5.3	5.4	5.4	5.5	5.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	3.9	3.1	5.8	<b>2.6</b>	3.1	3.4	3.8	4.1	4.4	4.5	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	7.5	39	556	<i>30e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	3.6	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.6</b>	6.6	20	37	179	513	2908	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.2</b>	6.3	5.5	6.5	15	26	42	60	101	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	3.2	<b>2.1</b>	10	11	45	266	392	783	800	856	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.7	6.1	47	167	2671	<i>43e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	7.4	13	55	114	<i>12e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>2.5</b>	6.0	6.6	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.9</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 35: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{105}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>105 Rosenbrock moderate unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	4.2	7.7	28	4.5	4.7	<b>2.9</b>	4.5	6.4	8.0	11	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.7	<b>2.5</b>	3.2	3.2	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	3.5	3.3	26	14	<i>10e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	157	130	911	<i>20e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	3.4	<b>1.9</b>	4.9	7.8	10	10	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	69	24	22	14	34	32	94	300	709	4359	DASA [17]
DEPSO	4.3	5.0	7.7	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	8.6	27	<i>71e-4/2e3</i>	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3.2	3.9	6.9	5.5	9.1	6.1	9.0	12	16	23	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	4.6	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	3.0	4.7	6.7	14	21	45	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.5</b>	3.7	11	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	4.6	3.7	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	5.1	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.8</b>	3.4	5.2	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	3.1	5.3	7.1	<b>1.4</b>	11	4.8	5.2	5.4	5.4	5.4	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	4.2	37	104	<i>57e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	6.1	3.2	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.5</b>	3.9	5.0	12	38	102	1498	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	4.4	<b>2.7</b>	10	46	29	13	20	25	31	42	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.7</b>	5.5	14	43	27	51	54	74	79	84	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>2.2</b>	7.8	50	82	1407	6268	<i>45e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	3.8	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.6</b>	10	11	10	<i>16e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>2.5</b>	8.0	7.9	11	8.5	3.4	3.7	4.5	5.7	8.1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 36: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{106}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>2.4</b>	5.0	21	29	37	25	55	241	1875	<i>37e-7/2e6</i>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	3.7	18	30	34	31	32	27	43	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	3.8	3.6	7.5	32	<i>73e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	18	6.6	12	18	23	13	8.0	7.6	7.6	7.6	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.9</b>	5.5	6.1	6.2	7.2	11	19	97	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	21	11	14	150	192	267	545	6576	<i>10e-5/1e6</i>	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	4.6	3.1	11	12	34	200	<i>38e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	4.9	<b>3.0</b>	7.6	44	69	52	461	1572	3326	<i>97e-5/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	4.2	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.6</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.2</b>	3.8	9.4	6.8	<b>3.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.5</b>	3.7	16	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	3.5	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	37	41	24	22	31	25	51	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.7	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.9</b>	7.5	7.1	3.0	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	3.4	4.2	6.4	8.3	7.0	3.4	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	23	225	812	982	<i>40e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	3.4	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>3.5</b>	24	32	104	238	1239	30170	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>2.4</b>	3.4	8.0	14	235	912	1703	5419	<i>14e-3/1e5</i>	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>2.2</b>	3.7	11	33	174	620	477	1511	<i>17e-4/1e5</i>	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	4.9	7.6	43	587	9143	<i>42e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>3.6</b>	63	159	<i>12e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>2.5</b>	6.4	7.1	8.1	<b>5.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 37: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{107}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>107 Sphere Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 2.0	1e+00 16	1e-01 90	1e-02 122	1e-03 350	1e-04 487	1e-05 525	1e-07 633	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	4.3	5.1	8.2	4.4	4.7	5.3	6.4	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>	4.4	3.7	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	4.2	<b>2.2</b>	3.9	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.7</b>	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	87	314	<i>10e-1/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	17	10	7.6	10	5.9	9.0	20	51	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	283	556	800	3144	8179	25979	<i>24e-4/8e5</i>	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	3.2	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.2</b>	3.5	15	10	10	12	15	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	25	21	22	54	41	98	195	<i>28e-4/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	4.8	3.7	7.0	6.7	11	10	<i>66e-4/700</i>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.4	16	8.2	14	5.1	4.2	3.9	4.0	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	58	8.0	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	3.0	<b>2.3</b>	3.7	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1</b>	7.3	51	208	<i>16e-4/2e4</i>	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	13	17	7.8	11	8.5	18	59	280	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.6</b>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	3.1	6.6	4.5	5.1	6.4	7.9	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	4.3	33	622	6844	<i>16e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	3.6	3.4	5.0	<b>2.7</b>	3.5	4.7	12	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 38: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{108}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.9	1e+00 33	1e-01 655	1e-02 2488	1e-03 5423	1e-04 10054	1e-05 15634	1e-07 33271	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	45	11	6.8	8.4	7.4	6.8	10	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	25	<i>64e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	38	32	<i>92e-2/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	72	21	7.2	18	<i>42e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	11	512	351	229	488	2274	<i>99e-4/8e5</i>	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	4.4	6.0	6.0	<i>11e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.1</b>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	15	82	179	50	<i>31e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	4.8	<b>2.6</b>	7.4	<i>92e-3/1e3</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	92	57	20	12	11	16	16	33	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	178	44	7.0	4.5	<b>2.4</b>	15	<i>91e-5/1e4</i>	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>6.6</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.2	3.9	8.8	100	<i>37e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	24	39	13	32	185	<i>53e-5/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	13	8.6	7.2	5.4	8.5	14	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1</b>	16	24	23	15	21	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	3.1	<b>2.7</b>	27	245	<i>92e-5/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	4.2	4.0	10	<i>11e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	69	10	6.3	8.3	11	54	158	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 39: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{109}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1.1	2.1	13	15	36	634	12750	1.45e5	42e-6/2e6	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	3.3	38	9.3	25	52	92	122	209	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	2.4	27	36	25	21	25	21	36	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	9.3	39	8.7	2.1	1.3	1	1	1	1	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.2	2.9	5.5	2.6	47	409	18e-4/1e4	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	3.1	196	403	1749	10809	1.97e5	63e-4/9e5	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	3.1	6.4	4.2	7.0	14	76	349	15e-5/2e3	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	2.0	3.8	3.0	111	1408	83e-5/1e5	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	6.4	2.1	1.4	1.6	1.7	2.2	1.7	1.7	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1.2	4.5	10	3.7	4.6	25	39e-4/500	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	2.5	2.0	8.1	18	47	79	143	486	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	3.4	1.8	1	1	1.7	2.4	2.5	3.6	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.1	3.3	5.4	3.1	5.8	10	20	26	56	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	1	1	18	81	114	145	156	187	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	3.8	1.9	3.3	27	384	2212	81511	25e-6/1e6	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	2.2	8.4	12	285	2937	7324	17531	19e-4/1e5	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1.1	2.2	3.7	12	3138	22175	22842	18e-3/1e5	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.1	1.6	9.5	103	2503	48242	19e-4/1e6	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNBOFIT	1	1.1	2.6	1.1	2.5	17	60	384	31e-4/2e3	.	SNBOFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	5.9	2.4	2.1	2.4	3.1	3.0	4.2	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 40: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{110}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>2.3</b>	3.9	9.5	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.2</b>	8.4	33	26	16	7.7	5.7	3.6	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>2.3</b>	<b>3.0</b>	7.8	4.8	14	4.2	<i>60e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	50	59	222	<i>19e+0/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	8.1	5.8	6.7	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.2</b>	3.7	13	<i>17e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	412	229	269	115	173	179	240	532	<i>43e-4/9e5</i>	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	6.9	4.4	5.1	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<i>16e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.1</b>	3.9	4.3	43	<i>98e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	53	20	15	10	8.5	7.4	8.8	<i>20e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	4.2	3.5	6.5	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<i>91e-2/400</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	8.4	8.5	11	10	4.9	<b>3.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.8	15	7.6	3.9	10	6.1	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.8</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	3.2	3.8	4.0	<b>1.1</b>	6.5	16	9.2	9.2	<i>76e-3/2e4</i>	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	5.9	8.6	<i>87e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	6.2	6.3	6.8	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.0</b>	4.1	6.0	39	279	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.6</b>	5.3	25	97	59	55	27	45	<i>21e-2/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.1</b>	5.6	26	73	30	35	<i>25e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>2.0</b>	3.2	16	55	203	2076	<i>32e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	3.4	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.9</b>	4.2	3.4	3.6	<i>76e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>2.4</b>	71	34	20	16	8.4	7.6	<b>4.4</b>	4.2	4.6	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 41: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{111}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock unif											
$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	4.8	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	4.2	7.0	16	22	<b>9.1</b>	<b>7.6</b>	<b>4.8</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.7</b>	4.6	45	11	<i>15e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	15	12	150	<i>25e+0/600</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	20	16	17	9.3	15	<i>89e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	309	312	352	251	207	<i>29e-2/8e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	4.2	3.4	<i>12e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	5.0	<b>2.9</b>	19	57	<i>15e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	79	73	96	25	<i>76e-1/7e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.9</b>	4.3	3.0	<b>2.0</b>	<i>25e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.1</b>	18	32	11	13	13	12	16	<b>12</b>	<b>4.9</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>2.9</b>	26	24	5.1	4.5	<b>5.9</b>	<i>47e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	8.9	<i>52e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	7.4	7.5	5.3	<i>47e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	17	12	11	5.3	8.7	36	<i>64e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	11	8.4	16	10	<b>3.4</b>	<i>87e-3/1e5</i>	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.6</b>	4.5	8.9	<b>10</b>	<i>25e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	8.9	19	80	<i>75e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.9</b>	8.6	6.4	<i>37e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1.0</b>	27	46	6.0	6.4	<b>8.1</b>	<b>9.3</b>	16	23	<i>13e-6/9e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 42: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{112}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

112 Rosenbrock Cauchy											
$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	4.3	8.1	27	4.4	4.2	35	1364	11894	<i>55e-5/2e6</i>	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.2</b>	4.0	7.2	19	59	80	89	138	<b>171</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	3.3	3.1	7.7	13	<i>10e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	39	47	70	25	<i>11e-1/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.9	<b>1.8</b>	3.3	<b>1.5</b>	5.1	27	<i>18e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	39	52	90	36	70	1164	7026	14223	<i>48e-4/1e6</i>	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	5.3	3.1	7.8	<b>2.1</b>	13	<i>42e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3.5	<b>2.8</b>	6.1	3.8	49	1673	<i>39e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	4.3	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1</b>	4.5	12	49	<i>13e-3/7e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.5</b>	4.5	11	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<i>30e-2/400</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	3.0	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.9</b>	41	54	74	100	142	202	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	3.3	4.0	6.9	<b>1.0</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>5.8</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>44</b>	<b>95</b>	<i>60e-5/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	6.6	40	<i>12e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	4.3	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.6</b>	21	315	3283	<i>30e-5/1e6</i>	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>2.6</b>	<b>3.0</b>	7.7	45	318	322	<i>20e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	3.7	<b>2.5</b>	12	4.6	140	184	1509	<i>72e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.4	9.0	41	95	772	7826	<i>60e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	3.5	4.9	28	<i>17e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>2.5</b>	6.5	7.9	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 43: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{113}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	3.1	7.2	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.9</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.8</b>	3.4	8.5	8.2	8.2	8.2	8.0	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	7.1	43	95	706	<i>19e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	16	12	<b>2.5</b>	6.8	22	22	22	22	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	5.7	172	224	526	116	795	1024	1024	1024	1364	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.9</b>	3.3	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	3.7	<b>2.4</b>	3.6	3.7	3.7	3.7	4.4	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1.5</b>	7.5	10	14	7.7	16	95	95	95	<i>15e-3/7e3</i>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.8</b>	3.9	<b>2.3</b>	4.2	<i>12e-2/400</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.5</b>	25	17	4.8	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.6	4.4	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.9</b>	4.2	26	16	3.5	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.9</b>	3.1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	3.6	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.7</b>	3.8	50	225	225	225	<i>15e-3/2e4</i>	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.9</b>	16	11	7.3	<b>2.1</b>	14	23	23	23	28	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.4</b>	3.7	<b>1.2</b>	10	12	12	12	11	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.8</b>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>3.0</b>	17	28	519	1315	1315	1315	4165	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	8.0	4.7	6.9	6.6	6.6	6.6	6.2	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	3.1	11	5.8	6.2	6.8	6.8	6.8	6.4	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 44: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{114}$  in **03-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

114 Step-ellipsoid unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.9</b>	8.5	7.1	4.8	3.3	3.3	3.3	<b>2.3</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.0</b>	19	12	<i>18e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	3.4	34	20	34	<i>26e-1/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.1</b>	18	27	5.3	12	<i>17e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	16	163	536	115	524	942	1262	1262	1262	849	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	3.5	<b>2.5</b>	4.5	4.8	3.0	3.0	3.0	<i>22e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.9</b>	3.9	3.9	3.9	4.6	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1.5</b>	65	96	41	50	<i>13e-1/7e3</i>	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<i>34e-2/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.6</b>	121	17	13	8.8	5.8	5.8	5.8	4.1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.2</b>	112	27	8.5	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	4.9	3.6	8.1	<i>93e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	20	55	25	8.1	14	46	49	49	49	168	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.3</b>	5.0	45	27	45	29	29	29	45	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	22	33	20	17	17	17	15	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	12	107	123	123	123	990	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.3</b>	5.7	12	<i>75e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	53	13	10	7.9	13	13	13	11	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 45: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{115}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	6.6	7.8	4.0	49	66	66	66	204	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	3.0	<b>1</b>	4.7	6.8	8.2	8.2	8.2	6.9	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	4.2	7.9	31	48	96	96	96	69	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	11	68	186	<i>50e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.2</b>	4.6	4.8	4.7	30	49	49	49	78	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	5.0	108	365	479	873	5337	13980	13980	13980	<i>11e-3/9e5</i>	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.6</b>	4.8	7.1	3.4	5.0	5.2	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>6.5</b>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	3.4	<b>2.6</b>	41	103	321	321	321	486	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.7</b>	15	26	26	26	28	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	3.7	4.6	3.8	31	<i>41e-3/600</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.1</b>	12	7.1	8.0	10	10	10	8.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.7</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	5.5	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>4.6</b>	6.2	6.2	6.2	6.6	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.2	48	845	<i>39e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.8</b>	6.1	24	53	53	53	131	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	4.2	4.1	96	280	576	576	576	1030	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.9</b>	4.3	393	189	429	571	571	571	412	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	3.3	6.9	21	59	1713	5133	5133	5133	<i>32e-4/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
SNBOFIT	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	5.3	11	85	81	81	81	58	SNBOFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	3.0	6.7	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.5</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 46: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{116}$  in **03-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>116 Ellipsoid Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.5</b>	9.4	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.9</b>	3.9	5.3	6.0	9.2	14	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.9</b>	7.8	24	6.9	10	<i>49e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	35	36	302	<i>73e+0/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	6.2	6.0	16	6.7	19	72	<i>23e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	140	194	532	281	2798	<i>19e-2/9e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	4.5	6.1	<i>15e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.6</b>	17	3.5	30	61	171	130	128	109	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	32	17	35	14	68	49	<i>13e-1/7e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.5</b>	7.2	<b>2.8</b>	3.5	5.7	4.9	<i>21e-1/700</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1</b>	5.3	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	54	22	22	3.6	3.0	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.9</b>	8.3	<b>2.1</b>	4.4	8.1	14	11	13	29	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>4.2</b>	5.3	37	<i>26e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	11	10	19	7.8	23	70	411	<i>92e-5/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	278	45	124	154	599	456	450	388	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.8</b>	286	102	105	103	280	<i>31e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>2.5</b>	7.0	48	87	1333	<i>13e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>	14	13	8.0	5.8	<i>24e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	4.7	43	10	13	11	15	16	17	15	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 47: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{117}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>29</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.0</b>	16	5.8	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>2.5</b>	12	36	<i>44e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	12	7.1	11	<i>52e+0/600</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	27	6.3	6.0	5.1	<i>28e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	309	111	85	158	365	<i>49e-2/8e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	3.3	3.2	4.7	6.1	<i>12e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	8.9	14	24	14	8.0	<i>64e-2/1e5</i>	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	62	22	22	<i>17e+0/7e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<i>11e+0/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	20	19	5.8	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	57	13	4.2	3.7	10	<b>2.5</b>	<i>12e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<i>28e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	8.4	15	<i>19e-1/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	24	9.0	7.2	7.1	33	54	<i>43e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1</b>	13	16	<i>32e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	47	25	19	12	7.1	8.0	<i>17e-1/1e5</i>	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	3.0	10	297	<i>17e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<i>98e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	30	7.4	3.3	7.2	8.7	30	120	287	<i>19e-5/9e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 48: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{118}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>118 Ellipsoid Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	3.6	20	14	19	13	282	4122	<i>83e-5/2e6</i>	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.4</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	4.8	6.5	<b>8.4</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>41</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>2.7</b>	30	115	126	<i>15e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	36	89	60	220	<i>37e-1/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.6</b>	4.5	3.1	5.1	7.1	105	<i>14e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	36	241	248	1568	4332	17386	<i>60e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	3.4	10	8.1	18	22	<i>21e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>2.8</b>	10	25	45	161	493	1979	<i>12e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	5.8	18	135	<i>58e-4/7e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	4.0	12	3.3	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	13	<i>27e-3/400</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.0</b>	7.5	4.0	<b>5.4</b>	20	30	51	84	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.8</b>	7.8	6.5	5.1	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.4</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>2.3</b>	7.0	4.8	6.9	4.2	5.8	14	22	32	61	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>2.4</b>	7.4	6.3	76	507	<i>25e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	4.1	7.3	11	20	52	230	3821	17542	<i>93e-5/1e6</i>	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>2.1</b>	6.3	10	757	1226	4929	4178	<i>42e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>2.4</b>	7.8	12	1012	849	1504	4396	<i>68e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.8</b>	18	69	1019	19886	<i>16e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1.5</b>	6.2	9.2	58	99	<i>15e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1.3</b>	6.8	3.1	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 49: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{119}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

119 Sum of different powers Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 0.73	1e+00 22	1e-01 191	1e-02 443	1e-03 668	1e-04 1894	1e-05 4013	1e-07 5240	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.9</b>	3.4	<b>2.3</b>	3.3	58	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	19	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.2</b>	4.5	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	5.8	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	5.2	<i>47e-5/2e3</i>	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	5.0	90	93	226	<i>69e-2/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	12	11	5.1	5.0	21	18	<i>24e-4/1e4</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	44	280	463	303	1230	18573	<i>58e-4/9e5</i>	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<i>33e-6/2e3</i>	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	6.5	7.9	4.1	<b>2.5</b>	128	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	3.9	7.6	13	19	154	<i>87e-4/7e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.0</b>	3.4	6.4	<i>56e-3/600</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	11	3.5	5.8	5.1	<b>3.0</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.1</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	3.9	17	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.5</b>	42	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	6.6	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.8</b>	42	355	125	<i>94e-4/2e4</i>	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	12	5.2	3.8	10	30	106	424	<i>11e-6/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	6.2	24	281	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	3.3	4.8	7.4	16	128	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.6</b>	24	455	<i>35e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.6</b>	8.5	6.2	6.2	<i>38e-4/2e3</i>	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	27	3.5	<b>2.2</b>	3.3	3.0	4.1	106	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 50: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{120}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

120 Sum of different powers unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 0.73	1e+00 27	1e-01 601	1e-02 4836	1e-03 15766	1e-04 76318	1e-05 4.38e5	1e-07 1.45e7	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>18e-7/2e6</i>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.5</b>	38	20	8.1	7.8	8.1	7.0	<i>28e-6/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.9</b>	20	47	<i>43e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	6.1	48	50	<i>10e-1/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	47	23	8.9	31	<i>53e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	257	437	327	2598	<i>47e-3/8e5</i>	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.8</b>	6.4	<i>11e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.9</b>	3.1	<b>4.1</b>	<i>19e-5/1e5</i>	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	3.1	140	74	85	<i>25e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	4.9	3.9	<i>13e-2/1e3</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	56	21	7.2	11	16	10	<b>1</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	180	32	9.4	<b>2.2</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<i>61e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>57e-5/2e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	6.6	4.3	6.5	15	<i>38e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	34	18	13	43	445	<i>25e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1</b>	21	7.2	5.7	<b>4.0</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<i>58e-5/1e5</i>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	268	43	9.4	8.9	6.0	<b>3.3</b>	<i>12e-4/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.0</b>	7.1	70	<i>44e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.9</b>	6.5	4.2	<i>12e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	127	17	7.7	12	30	71	<i>16e-6/9e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 51: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{121}$  in **03-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>121 Sum of different powers Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 0.73	1e+00 14	1e-01 45	1e-02 98	1e-03 287	1e-04 500	1e-05 766	1e-07 1107	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.9</b>	3.4	11	93	1210	22990	<i>27e-5/2e6</i>	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	6.8	22	12	36	<b>54</b>	<b>142</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.5</b>	4.3	21	12	<b>7.1</b>	28	<i>31e-5/2e3</i>	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	60	21	26	51	82	95	<i>12e-3/3e3</i>	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	6.1	40	237	<i>44e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	15	273	220	1651	36446	<i>25e-3/9e5</i>	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	4.3	5.1	18	58	<i>12e-4/2e3</i>	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.8</b>	13	539	<i>51e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	4.2	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.9</b>	5.8	72	<i>18e-4/6e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	3.7	4.7	24	<i>12e-3/800</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	3.1	19	6.5	17	20	78	79	216	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	4.8	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.1</b>	3.3	<b>4.9</b>	8.4	<b>18</b>	67	<i>43e-6/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	39	2420	<i>22e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	5.0	<b>1.4</b>	6.8	39	518	<i>24e-5/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.6</b>	12	662	5155	<i>20e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.1</b>	179	1898	<i>16e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	3.7	86	1862	49708	<i>31e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1</b>	7.2	28	40	<i>10e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 52: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{122}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>122 Schaffer F7 Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.9	1e+00 119	1e-01 1461	1e-02 3255	1e-03 6354	1e-04 9031	1e-05 9818	1e-07 22240	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.0</b>	3.0	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.0</b>	3.5	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<i>67e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	44	43	<i>21e-1/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	18	10	14	<i>11e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	48	64	394	4179	<i>21e-2/8e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	3.7	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1</b>	3.0	<i>23e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.9</b>	3.1	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.8</b>	3.3	4.4	3.0	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	14	12	<i>32e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>3.0</b>	3.1	10	<i>52e-2/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	5.1	4.7	4.5	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.0</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	57	3.2	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<i>15e-7/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.7</b>	35	<i>16e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	12	8.4	20	570	2214	<i>12e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	11	13	11	11	12	14	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.2</b>	131	48	30	20	18	19	20	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	6.6	423	<i>79e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.3</b>	7.6	3.9	<i>27e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	8.9	3.9	4.0	4.6	11	65	727	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 53: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{123}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>123 Schaffer F7 unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.6	1e+00 515	1e-01 28760	1e-02 1.47e6	1e-03 6.64e7	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<i>12e-3/2e6</i>	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.7</b>	32	5.2	4.7	<i>20e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	55	<i>19e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	5.3	27	23	<i>22e-1/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	29	8.5	<i>60e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	6.9	296	88	432	<i>24e-2/8e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	5.5	<i>11e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<i>88e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	15	143	47	<i>14e-1/7e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<i>59e-2/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	18	7.5	<b>1.7</b>	<i>19e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	15	9.1	<i>37e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<i>12e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	4.2	3.2	<i>43e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	14	36	6.6	28	<i>72e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	31	5.5	<b>1</b>	<i>12e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.0</b>	15	5.1	<i>11e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1</b>	24	<i>79e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<i>77e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	11	7.8	6.5	<b>1</b>	<i>79e-4/9e6</i>	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 54: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{124}$  in **03-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.2	1e+00 65	1e-01 351	1e-02 1139	1e-03 2364	1e-04 3098	1e-05 4301	1e-07 4961	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.0</b>	4.7	24	20711	<i>17e-3/2e6</i>	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1</b>	3.5	<b>4.8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>54</b>	<b>156</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<i>34e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	14	94	81	<i>10e-1/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	17	4.1	30	<i>77e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	5.7	328	252	36274	<i>19e-2/9e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	3.8	<b>2.2</b>	3.6	<i>34e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.4</b>	198	<i>47e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	3.0	11	<b>2.6</b>	15	<i>92e-3/6e3</i>	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.9</b>	3.6	<i>30e-2/600</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	3.8	9.3	11	19	<b>52</b>	<b>107</b>	<b>184</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	4.3	3.4	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.4</b>	58	89	<i>36e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	6.6	150	<i>15e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	10	<b>2.6</b>	26	1877	<i>12e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	316	<i>84e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	3.9	643	<i>26e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	3.3	11	3925	<i>96e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.7</b>	67	<i>23e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.1</b>	3.6	14	<b>12</b>	52	263	888	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 55: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{125}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 0.33	1e+00 0.33	1e-01 0.33	1e-02 1473	1e-03 9973	1e-04 18700	1e-05 20569	1e-07 24632	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1.1	9.5	520	1	1.2	1	1	1.4	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	6.7	103	3.8	2.4	1.3	1.2	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1	10	314	2.0	<i>92e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	6.3	174	11984	<i>85e-3/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.3	76	773	3.4	4.3	3.7	6.9	<i>48e-4/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	14	1900	14079	120	270	320	583	<i>28e-4/9e5</i>	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	1.6	11	537	1.7	3.0	<i>67e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.2	10	277	2.3	3.3	7.5	12	27	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	2.1	11	279	1.1	3.0	5.2	<i>25e-4/7e3</i>	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.2	8.3	418	1.7	1.8	<i>13e-3/1e3</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	7.7	1529	5.9	5.2	4.5	4.1	3.8	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	11	511	3.1	15	7.8	7.1	<i>37e-4/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	7.8	236	1.3	1	1.0	1.6	2.9	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	1	1	1	1.6	<i>20e-4/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	2.1	68	725	3.0	6.5	14	18	125	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.2	16	443	8.8	29	35	32	27	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.1	9.1	745	8.6	5.2	4.5	6.6	17	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	12	705	15	42	759	<i>31e-5/1e6</i>	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.3	15	348	2.1	<i>17e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.4	23	232	4.5	4.9	4.8	6.7	11	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 56: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{126}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>126 Griewank-Rosenbrock unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 0.33	1e+00 0.33	1e-01 0.33	1e-02 4611	1e-03 1.28e5	1e-04 1.50e5	1e-05 4.88e5	1e-07 1.82e6	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	8.0	650	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	8.0	4795	4.1	<b>1.9</b>	29	29	<i>21e-5/1e6</i>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	12	5691	6.1	<i>95e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.9	67	5165	<i>10e-2/900</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	156	1509	4.6	<i>12e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	9.3	664	25787	126	<i>50e-4/8e5</i>	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	12	1506	3.3	<i>23e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	10	907	4.3	<b>2.5</b>	4.7	<i>15e-4/1e5</i>	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	16	245	10580	<i>63e-3/7e3</i>	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	7.9	<b>520</b>	<i>34e-3/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	16	3598	3.1	3.5	11	14	<b>8.0</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	8.9	3200	5.5	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>14e-3/1e4</i>	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	8.9	<b>267</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<i>25e-4/2e4</i>	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	5.0	<i>10e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	68	1369	4.9	5.0	21	31	<i>55e-5/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>6.5</b>	762	5.5	11	10	<i>43e-4/1e5</i>	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	12	46490	13	<b>2.5</b>	10	<b>3.1</b>	<i>27e-4/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6.5</b>	648	4.5	6.0	21	30	<i>28e-5/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
SNBOFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	13	1058	<b>1.6</b>	<i>29e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNBOFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	23	8186	9.4	5.7	12	<b>10</b>	<b>22</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 57: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{127}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 0.33	1e+00 0.33	1e-01 0.33	1e-02 1168	1e-03 13102	1e-04 15016	1e-05 15116	1e-07 15332	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1	13	488	8.1	19	94	768	<i>57e-6/2e6</i>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	8.9	144	10	4.3	7.6	13	26	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.3	10	226	1	2.3	2.0	<i>72e-4/2e3</i>	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	1	188	5140	<i>51e-3/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.5	11	923	20	<i>16e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	20	874	22808	559	915	798	<i>62e-4/8e5</i>	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	1.5	11	308	3.5	2.3	<i>11e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.5	9.0	544	29	54	<i>30e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	1.7	13	274	2.4	2.0	<i>44e-4/6e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.3	7.7	642	14	<i>44e-3/1e3</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	11	2257	10	11	16	28	60	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	10	416	3.0	1	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	8.9	147	3.2	8.3	15	<i>36e-4/2e4</i>	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	1	1	1	7.3	<i>47e-4/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1.1	48	1025	12	26	165	463	<i>19e-5/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.1	12	1403	36	<i>46e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.3	10	22362	58	35	47	<i>67e-4/1e5</i>	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	13	593	20	60	455	452	<i>74e-5/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.3	8.9	898	4.7	<i>29e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.4	23	292	20	12	20	23	24	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 58: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{128}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.8	1e+00 142	1e-01 375	1e-02 449	1e-03 639	1e-04 903	1e-05 905	1e-07 1447	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1.3	1	1.1	1.5	1.8	1.7	2.1	1.8	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.4	7.9	21	19	13	10	10	6.1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.5	3.0	7.1	33	47	<i>88e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	56	36	55	<i>12e-1/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.4	3.0	2.3	3.1	2.9	3.5	4.7	3.9	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	80	90	103	135	165	274	343	960	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	1.7	3.9	4.0	3.7	2.7	2.0	2.1	1.4	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.5	52	43	37	26	19	20	13	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	10	5.9	4.1	6.1	4.5	4.3	6.2	35	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.4	1.1	1	1	1	1	1	1	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.1	22	23	21	17	12	12	7.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.8	8.4	13	11	8.1	6.1	6.1	4.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1	1.1	1.8	2.5	2.1	1.8	2.0	1.3	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	4.8	1.4	1.3	3.9	5.5	22	59	<i>77e-6/2e4</i>	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	4.0	5.6	3.7	4.1	3.9	3.0	3.2	5.3	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.6	110	76	67	47	34	34	22	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.4	110	68	58	41	30	30	20	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	2.1	1.0	2.5	18	49	191	1196	<i>84e-7/1e6</i>	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.9	2.0	1.5	2.3	2.6	2.6	3.1	8.3	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.2	29	26	22	15	11	11	7.1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 59: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{129}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

129 Gallagher unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.6	1e+00 121	1e-01 1131	1e-02 2617	1e-03 5300	1e-04 8261	1e-05 9454	1e-07 12845	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1	2.4	1	1.1	1	1	1	1	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.4	38	18	22	17	15	14	10	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.8	15	25	<i>61e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	26	9.1	5.4	<i>88e-2/900</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.9	16	4.1	7.5	6.2	18	<i>17e-3/1e4</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	93	158	91	86	104	320	639	<i>20e-5/8e5</i>	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	2.1	3.9	3.3	5.4	<i>11e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.5	1.8	17	18	13	13	12	9.3	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	148	44	16	18	9.0	<i>50e-2/7e3</i>	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	1.6	1.2	2.5	<i>50e-3/1e3</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.7	56	7.5	5.8	5.7	6.7	6.0	7.1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	2.8	25	10	9.4	4.9	5.2	7.1	11	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.7	1	1.8	1.6	1.2	1.8	1.9	2.5	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	6.3	3.3	2.1	4.1	7.5	29	25	<i>37e-4/2e4</i>	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	7.2	6.2	3.1	3.1	3.7	10	31	199	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.8	148	61	51	30	26	23	22	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.8	133	60	44	23	19	17	13	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.7	1.6	1.1	1.7	5.0	20	146	1091	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	3.2	2.7	1.1	1	1.4	1.4	1.2	<i>22e-3/2e3</i>	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.6	40	11	9.5	6.4	5.0	4.8	4.5	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 60: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{130}$  in **03-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

130 Gallagher Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03 0.33	1e+02 0.33	1e+01 1.8	1e+00 82	1e-01 172	1e-02 305	1e-03 501	1e-04 2264	1e-05 3981	1e-07 4782	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1.1	2.4	2.5	4.1	4.3	3.3	9.0	183	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.4	92	135	110	69	17	10	11	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.4	5.7	33	27	17	3.8	7.2	6.2	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	34	33	63	<i>53e-2/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.2	6.0	7.0	8.8	15	4.4	8.3	30	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	41	128	235	743	793	800	1532	<i>14e-5/9e5</i>	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	1.4	6.1	5.6	8.0	6.3	2.8	1.7	<i>63e-4/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.7	1.4	5.6	37	98	85	179	<i>15e-5/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	2.2	4.1	6.4	5.6	7.7	2.5	7.0	<i>53e-6/6e3</i>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.3	1	1	1	1	1	1.0	2.6	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	54	74	60	47	11	9.0	11	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.9	5.0	23	15	9.2	2.0	1.2	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	2.3	9.3	10	7.1	6.8	1.7	1	1.7	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	2.9	3.9	7.5	10	19	54	62	<i>36e-5/2e4</i>	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	2.8	3.7	2.8	4.9	5.2	5.6	7.0	145	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.6	5.8	152	128	115	74	104	298	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.9	95	390	293	236	69	165	145	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.3	2.1	4.4	15	62	86	303	3024	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.4	4.6	5.8	12	15	<i>42e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	2.2	83	102	61	52	11	10	9.5	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 61: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{101}$  in **05-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>101 Sphere moderate Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 2.2	1e+00 7.4	1e-01 8.8	1e-02 10	1e-03 12	1e-04 13	1e-05 14	1e-07 15	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	12	42	76	119	126	154	178	224	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	5.2	5.6	10	13	14	16	18	21	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	6.2	23	64	89	118	121	123	127	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	91	742	<i>70e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.6</b>	3.2	3.3	3.9	4.3	5.4	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	11	19	15	18	21	23	26	27	32	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	7.5	11	16	21	23	28	31	38	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	4.1	8.0	101	268	318	403	486	626	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	3.4	3.3	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	11	8.1	7.7	7.4	6.1	6.2	6.2	6.4	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	3.3	3.4	5.1	6.8	7.1	8.5	10	12	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	4.2	<b>3.0</b>	4.1	4.9	5.2	5.8	6.4	7.7	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	6.9	7.5	13	15	15	17	20	23	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	70	166	922	<i>82e-5/1e4</i>	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>4.6</b>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	4.2	7.8	17	29	36	46	57	76	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	4.9	13	53	114	142	186	218	328	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	10	461	1.93e5	<i>10e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.8</b>	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	7.4	6.8	7.5	8.2	7.9	8.6	9.1	11	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 62: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{102}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>102 Sphere moderate unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 2.2	1e+00 7.1	1e-01 10	1e-02 13	1e-03 14	1e-04 16	1e-05 17	1e-07 20	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	6.6	51	73	92	107	131	149	174	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	5.7	6.0	7.6	8.7	10	12	13	15	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	12	23	59	114	124	123	117	133	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	29	893	<i>59e-1/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.6</b>	3.1	3.5	3.7	4.2	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	61	41	23	22	23	26	30	32	38	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	7.8	9.0	13	15	18	22	24	28	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	4.9	8.3	96	180	269	331	387	473	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	3.8	3.2	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	8.2	8.9	6.7	5.5	5.3	5.2	5.0	5.1	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.8</b>	4.2	4.9	6.1	7.0	7.5	8.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	3.1	<b>2.9</b>	3.2	3.6	4.1	4.8	5.0	5.7	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	6.9	8.2	12	12	13	16	16	17	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	117	501	9706	<i>58e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	3.9	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.9</b>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	4.0	10	15	23	31	39	45	55	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	4.1	15	47	82	116	147	178	245	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	4.2	433	1.70e5	<i>11e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.1</b>	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	7.1	6.6	6.0	6.2	6.6	7.2	7.5	8.1	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 63: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{103}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

103 Sphere moderate Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	8.1	61	121	187	240	8296	<i>31e-6/1e6</i>	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	5.0	7.0	12	17	22	169	354	406	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	12	60	134	359	361	455	436	143	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	7.5	4.2	3.9	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>1</b>	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.5</b>	4.2	6.9	29	110	362	1126	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	7.7	16	19	68	426	2673	1.28e5	1.74e6	<i>59e-6/9e5</i>	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	12	14	25	40	70	184	408	<i>33e-7/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	4.2	11	121	466	1085	2.23e5	<i>38e-5/1e5</i>	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	5.1	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>4.8</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	6.4	11	11	12	16	34	38	33	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.7</b>	4.5	7.5	12	55	138	381	696	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	3.4	3.9	5.9	8.1	10	13	14	5.7	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	6.0	11	19	26	33	44	49	22	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	102	102	129	126	134	232	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	3.0	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>3.6</b>	5.2	27	124	529	3654	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	3.5	8.3	26	101	1421	47429	<i>33e-5/1e5</i>	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	4.2	18	86	2831	14730	<i>87e-5/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	6.2	834	2.91e5	<i>10e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.5</b>	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	7.5	8.6	11	13	16	19	20	7.9	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 64: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{104}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	6.3	22	18	18	29	37	40	45	48	71	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.3	3.1	<b>2.1</b>	3.6	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.3</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	6.8	8.8	21	184	<i>33e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	354	<i>61e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.2</b>	1	3.7	4.1	4.8	4.4	4.1	3.9	3.5	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	23	27	11	35	46	88	186	866	3163	14780	DASA [17]
DEPSO	7.6	7.9	4.4	10	55	<i>53e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	4.2	5.6	32	39	53	72	92	110	128	157	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1.8</b>	3.2	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	6.4	5.3	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.0</b>	3.4	3.7	3.3	3.0	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.6</b>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>2.4</b>	15	5.4	14	8.7	7.7	7.0	6.4	6.1	5.5	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	5.0	5.6	3.6	7.1	5.1	4.7	4.4	4.2	4.1	3.8	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	923	<i>23e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.1</b>	4.3	12	25	63	232	981	9878	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	3.3	5.1	5.7	171	190	1494	<i>22e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	3.4	10	17	2600	5447	<i>15e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	10	146	9045	<i>64e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	91	<i>28e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	7.3	4.1	<b>2.0</b>	7.4	5.6	5.9	11	11	10	9.0	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 65: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{105}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	12	26	22	7.0	5.1	3.1	4.0	5.3	6.4	12	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.7	3.2	<b>2.4</b>	11	3.3	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	5.3	10	28	<i>37e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	372	<i>82e+1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>2.1</b>	3.2	<b>1.5</b>	4.4	4.1	7.1	23	22	<i>16e-3/1e4</i>	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	27	36	17	16	12	15	68	162	479	4562	DASA [17]
DEPSO	5.4	6.2	5.3	16	14	<i>17e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3.5	6.1	40	20	13	8.2	12	16	21	<i>38e-8/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>2.0</b>	4.2	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>	4.5	6.1	21	42	<i>22e-3/9e3</i>	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	9.2	4.8	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>75e-2/200</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.5</b>	8.0	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.3</b>	18	5.0	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	5.4	5.0	3.9	58	44	22	22	21	21	21	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	516	<i>32e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.8</b>	11	34	118	4472	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	5.8	6.5	6.4	64	629	462	<i>70e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	3.1	6.8	15	1398	1355	462	<i>15e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	7.9	156	13649	<i>69e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.3</b>	4.0	<b>2.5</b>	49	14	<i>32e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	7.6	68	27	42	31	16	17	17	17	17	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 66: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{106}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	10	19	41	20	37	107	413	<i>28e-5/1e6</i>	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	4.0	<b>2.7</b>	4.5	8.8	5.3	11	12	22	28	78	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	4.8	8.3	45	269	136	<i>34e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	13	16	20	54	104	207	<i>67e-2/5e3</i>	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.1</b>	4.0	8.6	22	85	<i>54e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	17	19	19	31	48	321	12631	<i>18e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	6.1	5.3	9.5	35	142	<i>14e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3.8	5.6	75	58	6731	<i>20e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	3.1	7.8	18	31	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	6.7	4.7	3.9	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.7</b>	13	<i>11e-4/400</i>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.5</b>	9.1	10	11	13	23	43	138	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.1	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	4.8	4.3	6.3	3.9	3.7	3.1	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>4.5</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	1348	<i>27e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.4</b>	6.5	17	38	259	2852	<i>10e-5/1e6</i>	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	4.0	4.5	11	363	3097	<i>79e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	6.1	13	30	1910	3113	<i>14e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	8.0	110	13533	<i>62e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.6</b>	18	19	40	<i>18e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	8.2	4.0	4.2	11	6.3	7.7	5.2	5.0	<b>4.9</b>	<b>4.6</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 67: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{107}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

107 Sphere Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 8.0	1e+00 117	1e-01 329	1e-02 539	1e-03 703	1e-04 997	1e-05 1422	1e-07 1851	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.7</b>	4.0	3.4	3.2	3.6	3.3	<b>2.8</b>	3.1	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.3</b>	4.9	3.2	<b>2.9</b>	3.1	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	5.1	<i>29e-6/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	12	148	<i>61e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	21	16	57	<i>19e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	106	595	1183	9946	<i>29e-2/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	3.3	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	4.2	5.6	6.9	7.7	7.1	6.2	6.8	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	6.1	85	96	378	<i>96e-2/8e3</i>	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	4.8	<i>77e-2/700</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	33	12	7.7	6.7	6.0	5.1	4.3	6.0	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	5.6	3.9	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.9	<b>1.7</b>	20	<i>81e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	3.3	31	23	178	1740	20072	<i>50e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.0</b>	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	8.7	17	28	21	16	14	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	4.5	19	3460	<i>96e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.5</b>	5.1	10	14	<i>14e-2/1e3</i>	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.7</b>	3.1	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.8</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 68: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{108}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere unif												
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 17	1e+00 1029	1e-01 11158	1e-02 47870	1e-03 1.92e5	1e-04 5.03e5	1e-05 9.50e5	1e-07 3.42e6	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>15</b>	<i>98e-6/1e6</i>	ALPS-GA [14]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	75	12	4.4	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	18	<i>34e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	<b>1</b>	6.6	56	<i>84e-1/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	33	24	15	<i>12e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	<b>1</b>	237	256	460	<i>65e-2/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]	
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	9.3	<i>19e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	4.9	11	11	30	<i>38e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	89	78	<i>43e-1/9e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]	
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<i>16e-1/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	126	16	6.8	3.4	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	104	6.7	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>20e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]	
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<i>29e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]	
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	15	6.1	13	<i>54e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]	
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	96	24	30	216	<i>12e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	417	48	18	30	<i>54e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	414	86	25	30	7.4	<i>69e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1</b>	4.1	124	<i>98e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	3.5	6.5	<b>1.3</b>	<i>25e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]	
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	61	11	11	46	158	<i>39e-4/7e6</i>	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 69: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{109}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 2.2	1e+00 11	1e-01 43	1e-02 81	1e-03 114	1e-04 139	1e-05 175	1e-07 189	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	5.2	28	42	3272	<i>43e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	3.7	3.4	19	18	36	40	71	167	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	8.6	41	24	22	22	20	17	19	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	18	39	13	<b>3.4</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	6.5	25	396	<i>20e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	4.7	315	2843	43104	<i>15e-2/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	10	6.7	5.7	23	126	<i>51e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	3.1	4.9	550	18385	<i>30e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	5.6	5.6	5.0	6.1	12	21	28	22	20	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	8.1	7.0	5.1	49	35	<i>49e-3/200</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	16	31	65	110	182	405	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	4.1	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	5.8	5.9	5.1	11	20	47	72	430	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	12	22	29	121	296	372	344	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.7</b>	5.4	13	273	14153	<i>13e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	4.1	130	1407	18290	<i>46e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	3.6	643	2728	17646	<i>13e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	11	319	22262	<i>88e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNBOFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1</b>	3.6	15	35	101	<i>10e-3/1e3</i>	.	SNBOFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	7.3	4.2	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 70: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{110}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 10	1e+02 48	1e+01 328	1e+00 6725	1e-01 24004	1e-02 1.17e5	1e-03 2.15e5	1e-04 4.32e5	1e-05 1.00e6	1e-07 2.04e6	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	4.5	6.2	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	74	83	17	<b>9.4</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<i>30e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	92	<i>73e+1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	8.0	13	12	22	<i>52e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	555	1035	2109	<i>92e-1/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<i>20e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.7</b>	4.9	6.5	221	<i>23e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	28	37	83	<i>15e+0/8e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.7</b>	5.0	5.2	<i>32e+0/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.1</b>	5.6	<b>2.7</b>	9.1	46	<b>11</b>	<b>9.4</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.2</b>	3.9	<b>1.7</b>	6.1	<i>21e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.1</b>	11	<b>15</b>	<i>15e-1/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>2.1</b>	3.1	4.8	<i>54e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	13	16	12	33	<i>29e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.4</b>	30	<i>17e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.7</b>	4.0	24	60	59	12	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.1	31	1082	<i>63e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1.7</b>	7.2	4.9	<b>2.1</b>	<i>15e+0/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	3.4	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.0</b>	8.8	<b>11</b>	<b>9.3</b>	16	14	19	<i>77e-6/7e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 71: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{111}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 14	1e+02 214	1e+01 1916	1e+00 1.22e5	1e-01 4.36e6	1e-02 1.45e7	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>34e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.3</b>	3.0	4.7	4.5	<i>53e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.8</b>	4.1	<i>67e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	61	38	<i>10e+2/600</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	19	20	75	<i>44e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1027	682	2525	<i>21e+0/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	3.2	<b>2.9</b>	16	<i>31e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.5</b>	5.4	<i>24e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	149	103	<i>16e+1/8e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	3.5	7.8	<i>12e+1/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.3</b>	8.3	5.1	3.7	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>26e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	85	13	3.5	<i>46e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<i>19e-1/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	7.1	5.0	16	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	19	28	92	<i>45e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>2.6</b>	35	27	<b>3.4</b>	<i>31e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>2.5</b>	73	80	12	<i>14e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>2.3</b>	14	150	<i>66e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.1</b>	8.7	<i>10e+1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	83	21	8.0	3.6	<b>2.2</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<i>11e-2/6e6</i>	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 72: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{112}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>112 Rosenbrock Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	8.5	20	33	17	330	<i>50e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.7	<b>2.6</b>	3.5	27	185	271	<b>262</b>	<b>326</b>	<b>381</b>	<b>352</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	4.6	5.8	46	<i>35e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	119	278	917	<i>92e+0/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.8</b>	3.2	6.1	101	<i>29e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	21	29	79	306	5557	<i>18e-2/9e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	6.4	4.3	7.4	36	44	<i>25e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	4.9	8.7	62	<i>18e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	5.7	18	<b>153</b>	<i>93e-3/9e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	5.7	5.0	4.1	<b>1.6</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<i>16e-1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.3</b>	83	341	424	432	464	438	571	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	6.0	4.4	5.4	14	114	421	389	<i>28e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	41	<i>37e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.4</b>	4.1	65	2423	<i>14e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	3.8	4.1	8.4	1749	2047	<i>15e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	5.0	8.0	29	1090	<i>29e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	11	114	18965	<i>71e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<i>34e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	8.5	5.2	4.5	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 73: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{113}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	5.9	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	4.6	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.3</b>	3.4	<b>2.6</b>	3.2	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.1	6.0	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	14	102	185	<i>24e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.1</b>	25	8.6	40	92	<i>96e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	6.3	216	535	2685	<i>11e-1/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.3</b>	3.5	3.1	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.8</b>	4.5	3.4	17	11	15	15	15	15	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>2.3</b>	28	19	57	<i>14e-1/8e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.1</b>	4.1	10	<b>7.3</b>	<i>18e-1/900</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1</b>	4.7	4.2	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>2.5</b>	74	16	6.7	3.3	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.5</b>	4.9	3.4	5.3	5.3	5.3	5.3	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.5</b>	9.0	92	<i>48e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>2.2</b>	12	13	32	231	1399	<i>23e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.8</b>	472	182	415	<i>54e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.5</b>	21	56	33	43	43	43	43	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	8.7	352	<i>31e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.3</b>	7.7	18	<i>30e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	28	13	13	7.3	15	15	15	15	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 74: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{114}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

114 Step-ellipsoid unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 2.3	1e+01 153	1e+00 4575	1e-01 63851	1e-02 2.25e5	1e-03 3.12e5	1e-04 3.12e5	1e-05 3.12e5	1e-07 3.36e5	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>4.8</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.4</b>	17	4.6	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	6.9	<i>73e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	20	67	<i>28e+0/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.1</b>	43	7.2	15	<i>29e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	4.6	429	257	700	<i>18e-1/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.6</b>	4.7	<i>42e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	21	18	<i>73e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1.1</b>	184	60	<i>82e-1/8e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<i>45e-1/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	11	9.2	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.1</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.3</b>	131	15	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<i>99e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<i>29e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	5.0	<b>2.2</b>	4.8	<i>13e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	10	38	13	45	<i>29e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1</b>	17	7.0	<i>73e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.9</b>	102	88	22	<i>47e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.7</b>	22	230	<i>44e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.7</b>	3.5	<i>56e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	34	9.3	25	88	286	286	286	265	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 75: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{115}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.5</b>	13	6.8	25	9619	13309	13309	13309	<i>16e-3/1e6</i>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	4.1	<b>2.9</b>	<b>5.6</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>6.5</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	5.9	21	78	63	<i>89e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	32	125	2215	<i>24e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	4.7	7.4	43	<i>11e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	18	45	416	2745	<i>63e-2/8e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	4.8	5.5	6.5	65	<i>58e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	7.7	19	183	<i>65e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	17	<i>57e-3/8e3</i>	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	4.4	4.7	<i>84e-2/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	4.2	8.7	19	20	20	20	18	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>3.0</b>	3.2	30	72	152	152	152	284	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	43	405	<i>83e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	11	64	3045	14146	14146	14146	25195	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.6</b>	194	583	<i>36e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	5.1	398	1108	<i>38e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	22	905	<i>34e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	4.6	17	<i>11e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	3.4	<b>1</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<b>5.2</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 76: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{116}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

116 Ellipsoid Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	3.1	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.4</b>	4.5	14	26	57	277	2334	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>3.0</b>	4.3	12	<i>37e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	68	<i>12e+2/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	8.1	13	37	<i>18e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	151	215	1339	<i>12e+0/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.9</b>	4.7	3.2	<i>73e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.7</b>	3.4	25	96	147	268	<i>18e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	37	45	<i>10e+1/8e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.6</b>	3.2	4.5	<i>94e+0/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	15	4.0	4.0	3.2	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.9</b>	6.3	4.5	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	5.6	14	69	<i>37e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.3</b>	16	49	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	6.2	11	40	1554	<i>17e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>2.8</b>	60	241	<i>15e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.2</b>	60	133	146	<i>19e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.8	19	1741	<i>11e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1.1</b>	4.7	<i>83e+0/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>2.0</b>	12	14	22	52	199	256	629	572	914	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 77: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{117}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.6</b>	12	<b>58</b>	<i>13e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	5.9	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.6</b>	<i>21e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	18	<i>91e+1/600</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	24	11	13	<i>77e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	158	189	<i>39e+0/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	3.7	7.2	<i>15e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.2</b>	15	13	<i>15e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	157	45	<i>28e+1/8e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.9</b>	3.6	<i>17e+1/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	15	4.5	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<i>14e-6/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	62	10	4.0	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>26e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	4.0	<i>27e-1/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	5.7	<i>36e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	18	17	61	157	<i>67e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	68	46	26	16	<i>19e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>2.0</b>	43	56	16	<i>39e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.7</b>	7.7	109	<i>94e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.0</b>	8.4	<i>21e+1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	105	14	10	46	678	<i>60e-2/7e6</i>	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 78: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{118}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

118 Ellipsoid Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	8.2	33	13	32	938	41339	<i>41e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.7</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>54</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	9.4	180	99	<i>90e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	168	1744	<i>31e+1/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>2.2</b>	5.5	8.0	22	230	<i>32e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	42	267	1337	27100	<i>27e-1/9e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	8.1	18	17	38	97	<i>37e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	4.5	63	112	317	911	3960	<i>57e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	5.9	70	<i>22e-2/9e3</i>	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	7.2	6.8	<b>1.8</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<i>74e-2/700</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.2</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>2.7</b>	3.1	7.7	11	22	44	60	130	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.1	9.5	3.8	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.4</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	4.0	11	4.1	14	44	86	130	260	365	637	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	8.0	24	143	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>2.9</b>	13	36	530	<i>22e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	3.4	650	797	2673	<i>51e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	3.9	28	1765	<i>12e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	7.2	487	15113	<i>10e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.6</b>	23	87	<i>33e+0/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	6.7	8.1	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 79: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{119}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

119 Sum of different powers Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 2.3	1e+00 137	1e-01 628	1e-02 1564	1e-03 2695	1e-04 6191	1e-05 7059	1e-07 9949	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.2</b>	29	<i>15e-7/1e6</i>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.4</b>	4.4	3.6	3.1	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.3</b>	5.5	<i>68e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	45	218	120	<i>50e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	32	18	15	50	<i>20e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	41	214	717	5346	<i>31e-2/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<i>19e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	3.1	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.1</b>	15	205	<i>98e-6/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	4.6	12	22	94	<i>31e-2/8e3</i>	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	4.5	<i>80e-2/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.7</b>	3.3	5.8	5.2	3.8	<b>3.8</b>	<b>3.6</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	5.4	4.5	10	3.1	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.8</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	4.7	<i>61e-7/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.5	<b>2.0</b>	15	<i>75e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	63	15	11	94	1187	<i>13e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	68	43	18	14	36	<i>14e-5/1e5</i>	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.7</b>	53	26	13	13	35	97	<i>19e-5/1e5</i>	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.4</b>	11	2331	<i>10e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	3.9	7.6	<i>51e-2/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.8</b>	7.8	<b>2.7</b>	3.1	3.6	7.9	129	11677	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 80: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{120}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

120 Sum of different powers unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 3.2	1e+00 580	1e-01 10596	1e-02 1.48e5	1e-03 9.53e5	1e-04 4.51e6	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	15	<i>34e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	13	5.6	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>68e-5/1e6</i>	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.2</b>	49	<i>17e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	19	40	<i>37e-1/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	4.6	29	9.4	<i>45e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	40	842	264	<i>44e-2/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.3</b>	5.0	<i>10e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	14	8.2	<b>3.0</b>	<i>68e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	30	151	63	<i>22e-1/9e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<i>90e-2/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	24	12	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<i>13e-4/1e6</i>	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	48	11	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>30e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<i>34e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.7	8.5	14	<i>48e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.7</b>	54	21	122	<i>91e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.0</b>	119	15	10	<b>1.6</b>	<i>16e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1</b>	88	27	<i>60e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	193	<i>11e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.2</b>	3.3	<i>13e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.0</b>	11	15	34	<i>58e-4/7e6</i>	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 81: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{121}$  in **05-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>121 Sum of different powers Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 1.7	1e+00 22	1e-01 59	1e-02 135	1e-03 317	1e-04 525	1e-05 774	1e-07 1239	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	11	142	10158	<i>97e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	11	20	<b>26</b>	<b>34</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>99</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	3.0	23	23	<b>14</b>	29	<i>41e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	127	42	71	344	<i>50e-2/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	10	54	<i>35e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	13	179	3104	1.74e5	<i>34e-2/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	4.6	<b>2.9</b>	5.5	49	<i>14e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.2</b>	10	1902	<i>98e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1.2</b>	8.0	3.2	10	31	118	<i>13e-3/8e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.9</b>	3.5	11	<i>10e-2/400</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.1</b>	5.2	32	49	107	115	215	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.8</b>	3.7	<b>4.8</b>	31	121	<i>99e-5/2e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	11	163	<i>88e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	4.4	3.0	4.5	45	1761	<i>51e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	378	2095	<i>83e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.8</b>	702	3476	10669	<i>27e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.4</b>	53	18980	<i>87e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.9</b>	23	<i>11e-2/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	3.8	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 82: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{122}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

122 Schaffer F7 Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	6.1	13	170	<i>29e-6/1e6</i>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>30e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	12	87	<i>36e-1/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	21	25	<i>12e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	3.7	407	1369	<i>10e-1/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	3.4	<b>1.2</b>	<i>57e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	4.5	12	30	72	<i>37e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	30	47	<i>17e-1/8e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<i>18e-1/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	5.1	5.0	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.4</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	3.5	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>2.6</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	19	<i>76e-4/2e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	5.4	<i>54e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	3.1	17	31	<i>24e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	21	44	101	<i>17e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	33	20	49	<i>85e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	43	<i>46e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	5.4	<i>20e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.4	7.5	12	37	538	<i>76e-5/8e6</i>	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 83: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{123}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>123 Schaffer F7 unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 2.2	1e+00 6744	1e-01 1.42e6	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>25e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	4.2	<b>1.7</b>	<i>15e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<i>25e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	46	<i>39e-1/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	27	<i>21e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	60	417	1450	<i>14e-1/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.5</b>	4.4	<i>26e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.7</b>	16	<i>74e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	113	8.8	<i>35e-1/9e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<i>20e-1/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	9.0	<b>1.9</b>	<i>18e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	6.1	<b>2.6</b>	<i>10e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<i>64e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	6.3	10	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	22	11	<i>59e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	23	<b>1</b>	<i>12e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	33	<i>18e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	7.1	<i>49e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	3.5	<i>25e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.1	13	38	<i>16e-2/8e6</i>	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 84: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{124}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 1.9	1e+00 40	1e-01 812	1e-02 1795	1e-03 4096	1e-04 5279	1e-05 9067	1e-07 35389	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	14	8454	<i>15e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.1</b>	25	<b>3.8</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>19</b>	<b>9.4</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>8.7</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<i>13e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	73	988	<i>39e-1/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	4.7	33	<i>38e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	6.5	323	20357	<i>97e-2/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	10	<i>27e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	269	<i>56e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	5.3	45	<i>47e-2/8e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.7</b>	21	<i>91e-2/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	15	10	18	<b>17</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>57</b>	<b>19</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>5.1</b>	223	<i>20e-2/2e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	16	<i>55e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	4.7	35	8836	<i>12e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	931	1752	<i>52e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	1382	<i>77e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	955	<i>46e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	18	<i>88e-2/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.5	21	<i>7.7</i>	41	69	457	5405	<i>20e-6/7e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 85: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{125}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 0.20	1e+00 0.20	1e-01 0.20	1e-02 30213	1e-03 6.20e5	1e-04 9.45e5	1e-05 1.10e6	1e-07 1.10e6	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	35	10112	<b>2.9</b>	11	<b>15</b>	<b>13</b>	<i>21e-4/1e6</i>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	37	6775	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	42	<b>3189</b>	<i>65e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	37	2366	<i>46e-2/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	143	46453	<i>75e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	36	6469	1.21e6	<i>68e-3/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	35	12466	<i>98e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	31	9214	6.4	<i>11e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.9	26	5566	4.0	<i>41e-3/8e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	35	12829	<i>13e-2/900</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>24</b>	6356	4.9	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>22</b>	12215	4.8	<i>28e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	35	<b>4747</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>80e-4/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<i>15e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	210	32938	147	<i>13e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	50	69577	23	<b>2.3</b>	<i>29e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	27	21970	6.5	<i>14e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	60	1.46e5	<i>36e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	30	11000	<i>13e-2/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	52	26197	22	19	61	110	<i>87e-5/8e6</i>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 86: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{126}$  in **05-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>126 Griewank-Rosenbrock unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	30	42159	<i>21e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	45	<b>30243</b>	84	<i>15e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>29</b>	<i>27e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	5.4	458	<i>49e-2/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	408	1.65e5	<i>12e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	3253	2.39e6	<i>70e-3/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	51	1.41e5	<i>21e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	34	46929	<i>35e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	1743	<i>25e-2/9e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	42	62047	<i>23e-2/800</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	38	69229	<b>42</b>	<i>16e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	108	39633	<i>85e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	35	<b>9399</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>27e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>25e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	18	432	2.37e5	<i>27e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	40	2.10e5	<i>63e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>26</b>	3.59e5	<i>84e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	43	1.22e5	<b>82</b>	<i>34e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	90	<i>19e-2/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	56	1.17e5	677	<i>15e-3/8e6</i>	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 87: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{127}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 0.20	1e+00 0.20	1e-01 0.20	1e-02 25716	1e-03 1.49e5	1e-04 7.68e5	1e-05 1.06e6	1e-07 2.05e6	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1.4	46	25330	<i>17e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	40	<b>2694</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.3	35	<b>2190</b>	<i>41e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	7.8	1333	<i>38e-2/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1.3	51	54666	<i>92e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	61	4369	2.84e6	<i>86e-3/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	1.3	36	14699	<i>96e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.3	32	62948	<i>49e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	4.1	25	10666	<i>59e-3/8e3</i>	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	37	<i>24e-2/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.3	<b>24</b>	9757	<b>3.9</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>6.9</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	<b>19</b>	3749	1	1	<i>18e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.2	39	8559	7.0	<i>32e-3/2e4</i>	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1.1	65	36487	554	<i>24e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1	40	1.47e5	<i>75e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.2	44	1.34e5	<i>78e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	47	1.24e5	545	<i>33e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNBOFIT	1	1	1.3	24	22109	<i>20e-2/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	SNBOFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	52	26781	14	53	<b>22</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>8.1</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 88: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{128}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 22	1e+00 850	1e-01 1562	1e-02 2100	1e-03 2489	1e-04 2984	1e-05 3443	1e-07 4232	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1.7	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.2	46	46	35	30	25	22	18	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.3	2.8	19	<i>60e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	88	<i>94e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	9.1	4.6	6.8	11	17	14	19	<i>28e-3/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	232	176	399	2436	4198	<i>65e-3/7e5</i>	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	2.8	4.4	3.9	2.9	2.5	2.1	1.8	1.5	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.4	33	44	33	28	24	21	17	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	15	11	36	57	<i>16e-2/8e3</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1	1.6	2.3	1.7	4.8	<i>17e-1/900</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	8.2	34	21	16	15	12	11	8.9	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	6.8	13	7.6	5.7	4.8	4.0	3.5	2.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	1.3	1.3	1.5	1.6	1.5	1.4	1.3	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	4.6	2.3	3.6	9.5	59	<i>49e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	7.5	5.8	8.4	10	26	75	135	1034	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.9	50	73	55	46	39	34	27	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	2.2	104	129	132	111	93	81	66	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.8	4.1	41	714	5954	<i>10e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	2.4	3.3	4.7	7.1	6.0	<i>18e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.0	13	12	8.7	7.4	6.2	5.5	5.0	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 89: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{129}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

129 Gallagher unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 13	1e+00 2142	1e-01 11889	1e-02 46274	1e-03 56948	1e-04 72928	1e-05 1.02e5	1e-07 1.16e5	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.3	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	19	12	<b>4.2</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>4.2</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	27	<i>62e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	85	<i>76e-1/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	34	5.7	5.9	<i>77e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	229	143	399	<i>25e-2/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	5.7	14	<i>24e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	5.3	36	11	9.0	12	9.2	6.7	12	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	128	58	<i>55e-1/9e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>21e-1/900</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	25	25	13	4.3	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.4</b>	5.8	<b>8.6</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	42	9.2	3.8	<i>18e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.7</b>	6.5	5.0	<b>3.6</b>	<i>16e-3/2e4</i>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	10	4.9	<b>2.8</b>	<i>64e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	29	6.8	9.0	23	<i>60e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	132	34	15	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	129	34	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.3</b>	5.7	36	259	<i>13e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	5.3	<i>29e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	16	8.9	7.0	8.9	16	26	241	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 90: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{130}$  in **05-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

130 Gallagher Cauchy											
$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.20	1e+02 0.20	1e+01 11	1e+00 162	1e-01 607	1e-02 1640	1e-03 6565	1e-04 6746	1e-05 6778	1e-07 6906	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1.4	7.7	4.5	4.8	19	219	2120	<i>12e-5/1e6</i>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	2.1	156	139	52	13	13	14	15	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	2.5	174	47	<i>19e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	34	112	<i>20e-1/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	2.7	6.8	4.6	6.0	6.8	<i>71e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	147	548	1475	<i>60e-3/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	4.6	12	5.7	3.1	1	4.4	4.4	<i>94e-2/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	5.0	315	113	128	107	<i>23e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	3.0	7.0	3.0	3.0	2.4	17	<i>14e-4/8e3</i>	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	2.5	1	1	1	1.1	<i>33e-3/500</i>	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.5	133	55	23	6.4	7.0	7.5	7.5	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	27	11	4.1	1.0	1	1	1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	2.4	27	17	8.2	2.1	2.3	2.5	2.9	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	4.1	21	29	40	<i>35e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	3.3	5.8	3.1	6.6	7.0	76	377	<i>57e-6/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.7	384	332	255	107	216	<i>78e-2/1e5</i>	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	3.1	575	476	<i>84e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	3.2	31	110	532	2262	<i>42e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.5	3.8	5.3	9.1	2.3	<i>39e-2/1e3</i>	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.8	120	106	70	18	18	18	17	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 91: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{101}$  in **10-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>101 Sphere moderate Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 2.6	1e+00 4.0	1e-01 18	1e-02 19	1e-03 19	1e-04 20	1e-05 21	1e-07 23	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	4.5	70	133	50	72	89	108	123	155	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	6.4	17	30	11	15	19	22	24	28	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	7.1	53	81	45	86	108	133	134	139	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	842	<i>36e+0/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	6.9	<b>3.0</b>	4.2	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.9</b>	3.5	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	183	30	34	10	13	14	16	18	21	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	5.8	16	27	11	16	21	26	31	41	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	6.1	25	378	182	278	363	444	508	640	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	45	4.0	4.1	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>3.9</b>	13	11	3.0	3.3	3.7	3.9	4.1	5.0	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	5.7	8.1	13	5.0	6.9	8.3	10	11	14	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	11	5.1	6.5	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	3.3	3.9	4.3	5.1	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	5.5	11	19	8.3	11	13	15	16	18	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	20	874	<i>28e-3/4e3</i>	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	15	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.9</b>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	5.3	8.9	25	12	18	25	31	35	45	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	5.5	13	141	95	152	219	252	285	485	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>3.1</b>	2203	<i>36e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	10	4.6	<b>3.9</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.9</b>	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	12	12	11	3.3	4.0	4.5	5.3	5.7	6.7	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 92: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{102}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>102 Sphere moderate unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 2.6	1e+00 4.1	1e-01 20	1e-02 21	1e-03 23	1e-04 24	1e-05 27	1e-07 30	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>3.7</b>	69	132	46	64	78	91	100	119	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	6.8	17	30	10	13	15	17	17	20	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	5.8	51	73	24	37	41	52	61	63	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	644	<i>34e+0/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	11	<b>3.1</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.6</b>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	188	31	34	10	13	15	17	18	22	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	5.7	18	26	9.5	14	18	22	24	29	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	4.8	45	386	177	248	313	374	403	468	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	40	4.3	4.2	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	4.9	13	10	<b>2.9</b>	3.8	4.3	4.9	7.2	26	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>4.4</b>	7.2	13	4.6	6.1	7.3	8.3	8.9	10	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	9.0	4.1	6.1	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.7</b>	3.0	3.2	<b>3.6</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	5.1	11	21	8.2	11	12	13	13	14	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	412	<i>13e-2/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	15	<b>3.0</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	4.5	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	4.7	9.1	1774	372	361	336	324	298	269	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	7.1	13	98	88	140	187	210	223	438	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	6.2	3096	<i>33e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	6.3	6.1	7.6	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	3.6	5.3	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	12	12	11	3.1	3.7	4.1	4.5	4.6	5.0	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 93: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{103}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>103 Sphere moderate Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 2.6	1e+00 4.7	1e-01 13	1e-02 14	1e-03 36	1e-04 36	1e-05 36	1e-07 36	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	4.9	67	111	75	96	24687	<i>10e-4/5e5</i>	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	4.4	17	27	16	19	30	103	230	381	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	5.6	44	66	62	80	49	53	58	67	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	233	12	6.5	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	10	<b>3.4</b>	3.6	<b>2.5</b>	6.0	23	92	711	<i>18e-6/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	57	21	22	39	1131	2.42e5	<i>16e-4/6e5</i>	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	14	17	21	17	72	151	<i>25e-4/2e3</i>	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	4.6	37	349	276	1527	<i>71e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	45	3.8	<b>3.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.8</b>	7.5	20	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	6.3	25	17	7.1	6.9	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.7</b>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	4.4	7.5	12	7.4	9.3	7.4	39	198	1017	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	10	4.8	5.6	3.0	3.6	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>3.5</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	7.3	10	18	12	15	8.6	11	17	30	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	14	14	13	25	50	174	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	12	<b>3.4</b>	3.6	<b>1.9</b>	7.8	46	599	7785	4.07e5	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	5.5	9.1	1539	584	2291	18780	<i>56e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>3.4</b>	11	108	1463	46271	<i>40e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	5.3	1641	<i>36e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>3.8</b>	3.9	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.7</b>	5.5	7.7	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	12	11	9.4	4.7	5.3	<b>2.6</b>	3.1	3.8	5.0	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 94: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{104}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	25	20	24	14	14	16	21	33	51	111	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	5.1	3.3	4.3	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	12	10	35	<i>97e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>12e+3/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.1</b>	5.5	19	80	<i>46e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	8.7	8.2	11	<b>2.1</b>	7.2	23	78	182	1898	<i>28e-6/9e5</i>	DASA [17]
DEPSO	5.8	4.5	8.2	30	<i>70e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	37	57	72	18	17	22	28	34	40	<i>67e-8/1e5</i>	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.5</b>	6.4	6.1	11	14	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	3.5	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<i>39e-1/300</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.4</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	5.7	3.6	3.3	<b>3.2</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.8</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	3.8	<b>3.0</b>	4.3	34	21	20	19	18	18	17	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>2.0</b>	28	<i>61e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.3</b>	5.2	10	32	66	386	1203	<i>21e-6/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	4.0	4.5	7.0	401	429	<i>59e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	10	20	53	662	398	766	729	705	<i>63e-1/1e5</i>	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3411	<i>26e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	3.1	4.2	13	7.3	<i>13e+0/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	4.1	<b>2.5</b>	16	54	51	46	45	44	42	40	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 95: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{105}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

105 Rosenbrock moderate unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	26	17	16	10	4.2	<b>5.6</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>35</b>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	4.7	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	14	8.8	18	<i>83e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>20e+3/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	4.5	3.5	16	<i>55e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	11	11	18	<b>3.1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	14	44	205	1179	<i>24e-5/8e5</i>	DASA [17]
DEPSO	6.2	3.5	3.4	<i>76e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	43	54	45	<i>52e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	4.4	5.2	<i>32e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	3.7	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>70e-1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	3.1	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.2</b>	9.5	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	32	16	<i>72e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	4.2	3.0	5.2	163	<i>52e-1/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>2.4</b>	25	<i>70e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	8.7	8.0	36	138	251	1538	<i>71e-5/1e6</i>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	5.2	223	80	303	<i>65e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	9.2	16	98	316	164	<i>75e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	2592	<i>32e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	4.7	4.6	11	<i>16e+0/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	3.8	29	18	313	243	526	594	671	1013	4045	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 96: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{106}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	28	31	47	140	141	1465	<i>12e-3/5e5</i>	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	4.9	5.1	8.6	35	45	86	208	341	426	622	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	14	17	104	<i>11e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	27	140	<i>44e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.1</b>	3.5	24	40	171	<i>51e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	8.1	8.8	11	6.6	29	4000	<i>16e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	6.3	6.9	17	85	<i>67e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	52	93	160	<i>43e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	8.1	89	<i>48e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	3.8	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<i>42e-1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.7</b>	3.0	4.4	21	17	46	90	212	343	813	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	4.3	4.9	8.3	5.7	<b>4.9</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>32</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>2.0</b>	16	<i>61e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.4</b>	18	61	372	16556	<i>57e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	3.8	7.2	13	1948	1818	<i>62e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	10	25	315	<i>65e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3829	<i>32e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	3.4	6.3	14	<i>70e-1/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	4.1	3.5	4.6	11	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.2</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 97: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{107}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>107 Sphere Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 206	1e+00 895	1e-01 1735	1e-02 4086	1e-03 5636	1e-04 6588	1e-05 7088	1e-07 8281	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	5.1	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.8</b>	4.1	15	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2.6</b>	3.2	3.4	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	4.2	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.7	<i>32e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	602	<i>33e+0/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	178	106	<i>11e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	2974	4898	<i>11e+0/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	4.1	<b>1.3</b>	3.2	<i>97e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>3.5</b>	4.2	11	6.8	4.7	5.3	4.7	4.5	4.1	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	127	103	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	5.1	35	<i>17e+0/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	7.0	<b>1.8</b>	6.6	9.5	6.7	5.9	5.1	4.8	4.6	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	17	5.5	3.9	3.6	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.2</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	6.1	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	13	<i>87e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	205	110	15861	<i>19e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	4.9	213	66	60	31	33	31	49	178	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	4.4	39	60	124	165	<i>59e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	4.2	17	<i>30e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	7.9	5.1	<i>13e+0/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	12	13	6.9	7.9	5.4	8.5	16	32	166	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 98: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{108}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere unif												
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 1093	1e+00 1.03e5	1e-01 3.69e5	1e-02 6.59e5	1e-03 3.48e6	1e-04 1.46e7	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.1</b>	14	<i>12e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	5.2	18	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>28e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	5.7	<i>21e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	<b>1</b>	239	<i>40e+0/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	383	28	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	<b>1</b>	4643	585	<i>10e+0/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]	
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	28	27	<i>18e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	4.4	86	14	<i>99e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	3329	131	<i>29e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]	
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	3.9	<i>19e+0/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	5.9	16	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>62e-4/1e6</i>	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	47	41	<i>15e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]	
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	5.5	<b>1</b>	<b>7.2</b>	<i>24e-1/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]	
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	24	<i>18e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]	
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	269	87	<i>54e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	174	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]	
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	4.7	109	<i>11e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	6.0	<b>3.8</b>	<i>34e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	5.1	<i>21e+0/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]	
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	12	44	130	<i>13e-1/5e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]	

Table 99: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{109}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 2.8	1e+00 29	1e-01 50	1e-02 82	1e-03 116	1e-04 146	1e-05 179	1e-07 242	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	68	57	1.44e5	<i>20e-2/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	4.9	16	4.1	12	43	53	58	91	159	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	43	11	11	<b>17</b>	21	19	17	<i>35e-7/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	441	272	49	28	17	<b>12</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>7.3</b>	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	13	8.9	53	1406	<i>30e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	149	1570	<i>26e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	6.1	17	7.5	46	<i>87e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	4.1	39	11342	<i>13e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	33	27	24	2917	<i>34e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	7.3	13	6.3	<i>35e-2/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	5.1	8.3	<b>2.1</b>	10	54	126	193	273	447	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	9.0	<b>4.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	5.3	10	4.5	<b>10</b>	184	3162	<i>28e-4/5e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	19	73	200	231	183	322	238	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	12	<b>5.9</b>	7.7	1867	<i>42e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	5.1	163	4076	<i>10e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	5.0	2591	<i>31e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	5.9	2363	<i>29e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	4.8	6.5	18	142	<i>85e-2/500</i>	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	12	11	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 100: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{110}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

110 Rosenbrock Gauss											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	8.2	5.5	3.5	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>27e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<i>70e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	4.8	<b>3.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>11e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>19e+3/700</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	218	<i>69e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	12781	<i>79e+1/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>2.8</b>	5.1	<i>28e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	15	10	19	<i>87e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1287	<i>27e+2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<i>41e+2/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	3.9	4.8	<i>61e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.1</b>	6.8	3.1	<i>95e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	3.2	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<i>75e-1/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	29	<i>45e+1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	567	<i>14e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>3.0</b>	15	144	<i>14e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	208	326	88	<i>27e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1583	<i>26e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	12	<i>43e+1/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1.9</b>	23	9.3	<b>3.6</b>	<i>16e-1/6e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 101: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{111}$  in **10-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

111 Rosenbrock unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<i>21e+0/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	6.0	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>80e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.5</b>	4.1	<i>39e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>14e+3/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>25e+2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<i>19e+2/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	24	<i>21e+2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	45	31	<i>17e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<i>94e+2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<i>56e+2/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	4.6	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<i>86e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	13	<b>2.5</b>	<i>11e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<i>42e+0/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	33	<i>20e+2/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	336	<i>54e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	249	196	<i>76e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	180	<i>85e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	59	<i>30e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<i>28e+2/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	34	34	<i>23e+0/6e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 102: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{112}$  in **10-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>112 Rosenbrock Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	21	14	30	1236	<i>17e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	4.3	<b>2.3</b>	6.6	251	<b>244</b>	<b>243</b>	<b>233</b>	<b>226</b>	<b>220</b>	<b>210</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	12	7.7	23	<i>85e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1086	<i>24e+2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	8.1	<i>48e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	8.7	24	320	<i>31e-1/6e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	5.5	3.2	15	<i>88e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	44	47	304	<i>93e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	4.6	<i>32e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	3.3	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<i>78e-1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.2</b>	335	341	319	306	333	325	310	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	3.6	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>238</b>	<i>56e-1/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1.6</b>	14	<i>66e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.0</b>	7.4	642	<i>70e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	4.2	205	1356	<i>10e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	12	20	16028	<i>16e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3022	<i>33e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.9</b>	3.4	40	<i>21e+0/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	3.8	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.2</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 103: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{113}$  in **10-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>2.6</b>	3.1	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>6.1</b>	<b>30</b>	44	44	44	52	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<i>40e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	108	341	<i>14e+1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	5.8	52	177	<i>32e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	765	530	7869	<i>25e+0/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.9</b>	3.5	<i>10e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>2.6</b>	23	32	25	<i>21e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	10	96	<i>50e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.9</b>	3.4	<i>34e+0/600</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.5</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.2	15	4.0	5.7	<i>23e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.4</b>	14	47	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	<b>41</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	11	73	<i>23e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	22	35	649	<i>87e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.7</b>	84	175	<i>70e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.0</b>	798	<i>23e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>2.5</b>	3.3	2296	<i>10e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.2</b>	4.0	<i>29e+0/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.2</b>	7.8	22	304	4908	4339	4339	4339	4314	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 104: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{114}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>114 Step-ellipsoid unif</b>											
$\Delta ft_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta ft_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<i>47e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.7</b>	18	<i>66e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	49	83	<i>15e+1/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	110	68	<i>40e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	96	371	315	<i>28e+0/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>2.3</b>	38	<i>79e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>2.0</b>	818	32	<i>55e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	180	139	<i>77e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<i>68e+0/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.8</b>	31	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>2.1</b>	125	<i>39e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<i>67e-1/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	20	<i>39e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	106	61	357	<i>16e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.7</b>	511	<i>70e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>2.1</b>	502	<i>49e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1</b>	91	<i>11e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<i>51e+0/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1.4</b>	175	34	<i>42e-1/5e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 105: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{115}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>2.1</b>	14	11	492	<i>61e-2/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.0</b>	4.3	<b>1.8</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.3</b>	<b>4.2</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.9</b>	10	11	<i>40e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	151	1391	<i>11e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	4.0	7.7	12	<i>21e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	35	135	8305	<i>77e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.9</b>	6.5	7.0	16	<i>12e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>2.0</b>	5.2	27	4838	<i>19e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	16	<b>2.0</b>	3.7	143	<i>13e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.4</b>	6.1	4.0	<i>56e-1/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>14</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	3.2	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1.9</b>	3.8	3.7	42	257	<i>59e-2/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	297	<i>12e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	7.3	<b>1.6</b>	17	2888	<i>88e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.6</b>	641	<i>32e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.9</b>	3.0	1422	<i>64e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.9</b>	13	65437	<i>11e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>2.3</b>	4.8	89	<i>16e+0/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1.4</b>	6.5	<b>1.9</b>	13	38	56	64	64	64	65	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 106: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{116}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

116 Ellipsoid Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 105	1e+02 1652	1e+01 7144	1e+00 10554	1e-01 10911	1e-02 11251	1e-03 11584	1e-04 11938	1e-05 12316	1e-07 16727	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>4.8</b>	<b>5.0</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>160</b>	<i>15e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	16	18	<i>68e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>10e+3/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	128	<i>86e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	6226	<i>10e+2/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	13	18	<i>63e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	153	394	196	<i>46e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1383	<i>25e+2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<i>31e+2/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>2.8</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	46	16	<i>17e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	5.2	15	33	<i>30e+0/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	45	<i>99e+1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	332	<i>28e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	529	893	<i>59e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	243	853	<i>46e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	527	<i>40e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	9.3	<i>18e+2/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	87	54	1437	<i>12e+0/5e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 107: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{117}$  in **10-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>117 Ellipsoid unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.1</b>	<b>37</b>	<i>12e+1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>31e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<i>28e+2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>14e+3/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	57	<i>25e+2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1228	<i>15e+2/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<i>39e+2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	47	<i>97e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	57	<i>62e+2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<i>38e+2/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	3.6	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<i>33e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	19	<i>15e+2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<i>36e+1/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	4.9	<i>20e+2/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	86	<i>61e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	117	<i>18e+2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO <sub>Bounds</sub>	160	<i>19e+2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO <sub>Bounds</sub> [7]
Monte Carlo	11	<i>35e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNBOFIT	<i>33e+2/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNBOFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	25	383	<i>16e+1/5e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 108: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{118}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>118 Ellipsoid Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	34	40	258	21752	<i>30e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	4.8	<b>3.6</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>20</b>	<b>34</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	98	<i>59e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>71e+2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>2.7</b>	18	347	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	110	4865	<i>58e+0/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	14	61	<i>72e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	52	72	<i>29e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	14	433	<i>48e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	3.8	5.3	50	<i>16e+0/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>3.7</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	5.1	18	30	54	59	143	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	5.6	7.8	3.4	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.4</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	6.7	12	16	133	779	<i>64e-2/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	137	<i>65e+1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	4.9	148	49955	<i>16e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	6.7	2306	10389	<i>54e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	18	1686	<i>63e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	2198	<i>34e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	16	<i>40e+1/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	7.1	4.3	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 109: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{119}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>119 Sum of different powers Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 18	1e+00 801	1e-01 2031	1e-02 7983	1e-03 14386	1e-04 23327	1e-05 35004	1e-07 44904	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.6</b>	11	<i>43e-5/5e5</i>	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.5</b>	3.3	3.3	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	4.8	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>67e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1.7</b>	272	<i>13e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	66	64	<i>31e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	747	787	<i>33e-1/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	4.3	<b>2.6</b>	<i>80e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	3.3	32	27	12	46	<i>64e-4/1e5</i>	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	122	116	<i>55e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	3.4	6.4	<i>54e-1/600</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	3.1	<b>1.0</b>	4.0	9.4	3.4	<b>3.2</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.0</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	7.1	25	4.3	3.2	<b>1</b>	5.0	<i>54e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	4.0	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<i>49e-5/5e4</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	13	<i>56e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.5</b>	170	32	18099	<i>13e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	3.3	<b>1</b>	91	81	53	<i>38e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1.1</b>	3.1	409	347	696	<i>28e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	3.1	5.5	18373	<i>14e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	4.3	5.5	<i>52e-1/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	3.0	<b>1.9</b>	7.0	10	25	729	<i>10e-4/7e6</i>	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 110: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{120}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

120 Sum of different powers unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 47	1e+00 65279	1e-01 2.89e5	1e-02 2.04e6	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<i>62e-2/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	<b>1.9</b>	6.4	1	1	1	<i>32e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	<b>2.8</b>	13	<i>77e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	105	263	<i>15e+0/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	221	64	<i>63e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	43	462	<i>46e-1/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	<b>2.5</b>	21	<i>63e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	<b>2.8</b>	328	10	<i>47e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	440	308	<i>99e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<i>79e-1/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	<b>2.8</b>	15	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<i>47e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	2076	98	<i>52e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	5.1	1	<b>1.1</b>	<i>10e-1/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	59	<i>81e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	217	46	224	<i>23e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	3.2	332	21	<i>48e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	<b>2.6</b>	774	<i>61e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<i>15e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	3.1	4.1	<i>69e-1/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	3.0	97	24	<i>63e-2/6e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 111: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{121}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>121 Sum of different powers Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 7.2	1e+00 32	1e-01 63	1e-02 148	1e-03 368	1e-04 694	1e-05 999	1e-07 1821	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1.1</b>	3.3	10	81	<i>25e-2/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	3.2	11	30	<b>44</b>	<b>32</b>	<b>39</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>43</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	8.6	22	30	64	<i>41e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	400	569	<i>10e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	4.7	4.0	41	<i>49e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	45	578	<i>26e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	4.6	8.8	139	<i>17e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.3</b>	9443	<i>14e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	32	10	144	<i>55e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	3.8	18	<i>11e-1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>21</b>	72	94	87	127	123	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	4.1	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.5</b>	4.8	43	<i>28e-3/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	7.1	<i>22e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	11	3.3	54	28154	<i>10e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1.7</b>	7448	<i>13e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	4.9	<i>20e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	3.0	10	<i>15e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	3.5	4.4	<i>26e-1/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	3.0	3.5	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 112: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{122}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>122 Schaffer F7 Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 5.5	1e+00 2783	1e-01 33354	1e-02 60837	1e-03 71048	1e-04 73825	1e-05 1.01e5	1e-07 4.45e5	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1.3	1.9	7.1	69	<i>12e-2/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.3	1.7	1.8	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1.2	1.4	1	<i>85e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	11	143	<i>82e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1.5	24	<i>40e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	346	<i>38e-1/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1.1	3.8	<i>27e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1.1	3.4	84	<i>16e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	10	65	<i>49e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1.1	2.4	<i>50e-1/600</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1.1	1	4.4	2.7	1.8	1.7	1.8	1.5	1.3	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1.1	39	7.4	<i>14e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1.3	1.2	6.9	<i>56e-2/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	3.3	<i>37e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	20	<i>19e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1.1	1.7	252	<i>25e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	4.1	240	<i>24e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1.2	2.8	<i>20e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	4.2	<i>49e-1/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	3.7	63	2926	<i>24e-2/7e6</i>	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 113: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{123}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>123 Schaffer F7 unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 4.0	1e+00 3.20e5	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<i>15e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	3.0	<b>1</b>	<i>68e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	9.3	<i>57e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	11	146	<i>87e-1/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	137	<i>48e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	292	<i>37e-1/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	64	<i>50e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	3.7	<i>48e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	91	350	<i>60e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	5.0	<i>53e-1/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	5.9	<b>2.3</b>	<i>85e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	163	<i>44e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>19e-1/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	37	<i>47e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	17	76	<i>25e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<i>43e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	6.1	<i>56e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	3.1	<i>20e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	5.4	<i>53e-1/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	354	<i>15e-1/6e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 114: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{124}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 3.7	1e+00 91	1e-01 2413	1e-02 5029	1e-03 7836	1e-04 16576	1e-05 72243	1e-07 2.45e5	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.8</b>	4836	<i>99e-2/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>5.1</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.8</b>	7.0	<b>1.2</b>	<b>5.9</b>	<i>74e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	6.9	465	<i>89e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	3.3	9.0	1600	<i>19e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	212	<i>31e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	3.6	33	<i>97e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<i>31e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	9.2	<i>23e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	3.2	<i>33e-1/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	8.3	6.8	12	<b>18</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>86e-6/1e4</i>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.7</b>	85	<i>62e-2/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>25e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	10	6.8	8021	<i>93e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<i>27e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<i>34e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<i>22e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<i>28e-1/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	4.1	<b>1</b>	3.7	31	353	<i>64e-5/6e6</i>	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 115: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{125}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 0.10	1e+00 0.10	1e-01 0.10	1e-02 1.41e7	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	490	3.40e7	<i>12e-2/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	158	1.08e6	<i>42e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	220	<i>24e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	40983	<i>97e-2/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	2996	<i>40e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.9</b>	89369	<i>40e-2/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	288	<i>41e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	312	6.96e6	<i>12e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	10	235	<i>20e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	1179	<i>69e-2/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>113</b>	1.93e6	<b>1</b>	<i>37e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>7.34e5</b>	<i>22e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	167	<b>8.49e5</b>	<i>10e-2/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>25e-3/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	2981	<i>25e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	250	<i>21e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	324	1.45e7	<i>17e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	1428	<i>28e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNBOFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	383	<i>58e-2/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNBOFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	298	2.21e7	<i>76e-3/8e6</i>	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 116: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{126}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>126 Griewank-Rosenbrock unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 0.10	1e+00 0.10	1e-01 0.10	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1	509	<i>21e-2/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.3	218	4.87e7	<i>11e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.1	310	<i>59e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	6.1	15923	<i>11e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	4455	<i>53e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	1.2	1.15e5	<i>47e-2/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	1.1	1023	<i>77e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1	2600	<b>1.46e7</b>	<i>41e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	28	70868	<i>75e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	1506	<i>78e-2/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.3	<b>196</b>	<b>3.26e7</b>	<i>13e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1.1	12657	<i>41e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	<b>189</b>	<i>22e-2/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	6820	<i>30e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.3	955	<i>34e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1	1.55e5	<i>61e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	985	<i>31e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.2	666	<i>73e-2/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1.4	17694	<i>16e-2/7e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 117: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{127}$  in **10-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 0.10	1e+00 0.10	1e-01 0.10	1e-02 1.47e5	1e-03 3.14e6	1e-04 4.46e6	1e-05 4.46e6	1e-07 4.46e6	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	373	<i>17e-2/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	153	<b>1.34e5</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.6</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	237	<i>21e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	60	58490	<i>11e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	790	<i>39e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	10	57603	<i>46e-2/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	275	<i>33e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	92	<i>29e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>69</b>	<i>23e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	884	<i>68e-2/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	90	3.98e5	<b>8.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>37096</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>34e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	130	<i>19e-2/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>25e-3/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	854	<i>19e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	565	<i>32e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	534	<i>40e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	1175	<i>33e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	245	<i>65e-2/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	224	3.76e5	50	<i>96e-4/7e6</i>	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 118: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{128}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

128 Gallagher Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 2419	1e+00 13803	1e-01 14050	1e-02 14393	1e-03 29169	1e-04 29302	1e-05 38266	1e-07 52875	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1.4	1.7	1.9	2.0	1.1	1.2	1	1	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.9	5.1	5.4	5.4	2.8	2.8	2.1	1.6	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1	2.2	93e-1/2e3	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	57e+0/2e3	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	9.5	15e+0/1e4	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	150	91e-1/4e5	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	2.0	1	1	1	1	1	15e+0/2e3	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	118	101	100	97	48	48	37	27	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	29	23e+0/1e4	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	3.3	22e+0/500	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	5.3	6.0	11	11	5.7	5.8	4.4	3.3	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	4.3	3.2	4.9	10	5.0	5.0	3.8	2.8	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.5	2.9	3.0	3.1	1.6	1.6	1.2	1.0	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	5.1	4.1	13e+0/4e3	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	14	122	16e-1/1e6	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	87	16e+0/1e5	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	85	19e+0/1e5	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	4.1	1063	20e-1/1e6	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.5	22e+0/500	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	12	9.0	9.1	11	7.0	10	13	14	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 119: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{129}$  in **10-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>129 Gallagher unif</b>												
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 7100	1e+00 7.25e5	1e-01 2.00e6	1e-02 3.22e6	1e-03 3.23e6	1e-04 3.25e6	1e-05 4.53e6	1e-07 1.42e7	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	
ALPS-GA	1	1	<b>1.6</b>	4.9	<i>23e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]	
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	8.8	<b>1.2</b>	1	1	1	1	1	<b>1.0</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
BayEDAcG	1	1	<i>30e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]	
BFGS	1	1	<i>46e+0/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]	
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>18e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]	
DASA	1	1	272	<i>13e+0/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]	
DEPSO	1	1	4.2	<i>24e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]	
EDA-PSO	1	1	199	<i>20e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]	
full NEWUOA	1	1	<i>41e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]	
GLOBAL	1	1	<i>28e+0/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]	
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	9.2	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>3.1</b>	1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]	
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	10	<i>22e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]	
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	<b>1.3</b>	1	<i>48e-1/5e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]	
MCS	1	1	<i>21e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]	
(1+1)-ES	1	1	16	20	<i>56e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]	
PSO	1	1	198	<i>18e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]	
PSO <sub>LB</sub> Bounds	1	1	197	<i>26e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO <sub>LB</sub> Bounds [7]	
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	6.0	<i>20e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]	
SNOBFIT	1	1	<i>27e+0/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]	
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	32	13	<i>72e-2/7e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]	



Table 120: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{130}$  in **10-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>130 Gallagher Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.10	1e+02 0.10	1e+01 48	1e+00 588	1e-01 3747	1e-02 7052	1e-03 7091	1e-04 7151	1e-05 7219	1e-07 7334	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	6.7	9.0	67	<i>62e-3/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	8.0	166	35	19	19	20	<b>20</b>	<b>20</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	17	23	<i>20e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	100	<i>10e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	6.5	11	<i>51e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	587	<i>30e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	8.3	10	<b>1.8</b>	<i>19e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	380	684	<i>53e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	6.7	8.3	5.1	<i>12e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>50e-2/600</i>	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>8.4</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>12</b>	21	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	11	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	4.7	19	7.1	<b>3.9</b>	<b>5.2</b>	<b>15</b>	<i>15e-5/5e4</i>	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	32	<i>30e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>4.6</b>	24	457	<i>18e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	182	681	193	<i>25e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	772	<i>69e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	159	24087	<i>21e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	12	<i>28e-1/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	97	214	38	20	20	20	20	<b>19</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 121: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{101}$  in **20-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>101 Sphere moderate Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.28	1e+01 3.0	1e+00 21	1e-01 29	1e-02 34	1e-03 35	1e-04 36	1e-05 37	1e-07 39	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	91	101	32	38	45	56	68	79	101	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	59	57	15	17	18	21	24	26	31	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	93	109	26	27	30	48	53	58	765	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	20839	<i>11e+1/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	15	<b>4.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.1</b>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	63	22	5.1	5.3	6.1	7.8	10	12	16	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	32	23	8.6	14	21	33	50	107	<i>27e-6/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	19	257	100	120	142	173	207	236	292	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	43	5.8	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	34	9.1	<b>3.0</b>	4.5	6.2	7.3	8.9	19	246	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	22	23	6.3	7.0	7.7	9.3	11	12	15	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>12</b>	5.5	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.9</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	20	17	5.3	5.9	6.5	7.9	8.9	10	11	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	283	<i>11e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	16	<b>4.9</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.9</b>	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	15	16	7.3	10	12	15	19	22	28	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>	91	169	184	203	229	257	296	881	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	117	<i>29e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	21	52	36	27	25	103	102	<i>37e-1/300</i>	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	35	8.5	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.9</b>	3.5	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 122: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{102}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

102 Sphere moderate unif											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.29	1e+01 12	1e+00 20	1e-01 29	1e-02 38	1e-03 46	1e-04 49	1e-05 58	1e-07 70	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	61	27	34	38	40	44	51	53	59	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	46	16	19	19	19	19	20	19	19	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	52	27	27	27	30	29	36	37	426	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	64123	<i>12e+1/3e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	72	7.5	7.4	7.9	8.1	9.3	12	17	34	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	35	6.3	8.8	13	19	33	53	171	<i>46e-6/2e3</i>	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	20	68	463	365	314	288	296	275	265	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	42	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	42	<b>2.9</b>	5.4	13	51	<i>24e-3/600</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	18	5.6	6.4	6.7	6.8	7.0	7.8	7.8	8.2	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.6</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	19	5.1	6.3	6.9	6.8	6.4	6.9	6.5	6.4	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	8.3	804	<i>25e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	34	<b>2.6</b>	4.0	5.6	14	20	67	294	6651	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	19	4.5	369	258	201	168	160	138	118	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	15	19	266	635	516	445	436	393	636	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	112	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	35	72	<i>18e+0/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	36	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.0</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 123: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{103}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>103 Sphere moderate Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.28	1e+01 3.3	1e+00 21	1e-01 31	1e-02 52	1e-03 66	1e-04 80	1e-05 95	1e-07 123	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	90	93	34	36	3613	<i>81e-4/2e5</i>	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	61	62	17	15	11	19	51	81	115	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	73	100	27	29	25	26	25	23	<i>95e-8/2e3</i>	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	509	46	8.3	5.9	3.5	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.5</b>	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	12	688	<i>16e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	64	17	6.3	87	5751	<i>75e-4/4e5</i>	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	42	20	9.0	38	<i>34e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	29	249	103	107	<i>28e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	44	5.2	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	7.8	29	97	554	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	119	17	3.9	4.0	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	20	20	5.9	7.6	10	21	34	164	422	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	15	5.0	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	19	14	5.1	5.7	4.7	6.0	9.3	35	480	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	29	26	15	35	83	91	455	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	15	<b>3.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	21	4308	<i>42e-5/1e6</i>	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>14</b>	14	438	1655	<i>66e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	17	88	2522	<i>51e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	156	<i>28e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	25	8.9	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.6</b>	3.5	8.6	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	37	7.7	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 124: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{104}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	25	21	60	19	12	17	<b>24</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>124</b>	<i>31e-5/2e5</i>	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	10	7.0	<b>4.7</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	20	16	<i>26e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>13e+4/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	28	<i>12e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	6.2	11	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>4.3</b>	22	44	158	<i>24e-5/6e5</i>	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	5.9	8.2	<i>19e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	82	47	<i>16e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	20	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<i>82e-1/900</i>	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	5.1	<b>3.0</b>	32	10	4.9	<b>4.8</b>	<b>4.7</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>4.5</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.4</b>	21	<i>17e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	4.8	3.9	592	<i>14e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	27	<i>20e+1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	45	439	774	<i>12e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	493	328	338	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	33	669	1189	330	<i>72e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<i>91e+2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<i>63e+2/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<i>15e+0/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 125: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{105}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

[illegible]

Table 126: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{106}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	27	36	76	134	935	<i>12e-2/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	11	10	641	1268	1206	1176	1520	<b>1492</b>	<b>1467</b>	<b>1423</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	23	36	<i>51e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	355	<i>91e+1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.4</b>	15	<i>62e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	5.9	6.7	<b>2.8</b>	12	317	<i>76e-3/9e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	6.3	12	<i>25e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	88	82	<i>16e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	3.3	12	<b>28</b>	<i>97e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.3</b>	4.0	<b>1.9</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<i>22e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	5.4	4.8	132	6087	11907	<i>47e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	5.0	6.9	8.2	18	29	<b>160</b>	<b>1131</b>	<i>15e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	84	<i>20e+1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	8.0	214	<i>42e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	6.1	549	2440	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	50	123	2451	<i>18e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<i>98e+2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	65	<i>22e+2/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.7</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 127: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{107}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

107 Sphere Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 8.8	1e+01 1029	1e+00 14757	1e-01 33566	1e-02 39960	1e-03 49573	1e-04 57958	1e-05 61110	1e-07 68294	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.8</b>	3.1	20	93	<i>14e-2/2e5</i>	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	3.0	<b>4.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	3.2	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>15e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	355	<i>11e+1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	322	<i>75e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	1817	<i>64e+0/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	6.4	<i>38e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	819	1438	<i>25e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	321	<i>68e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	7.5	<i>82e+0/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	8.0	4.8	<b>2.2</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.8</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	257	10	<b>1.4</b>	4.5	<i>11e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	4.5	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>11</b>	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	19	<i>64e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	139	<i>34e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	1742	<i>48e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	15	<i>64e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	4.8	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	3.5	<i>65e+0/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	125	109	350	<i>27e-1/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 128: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{108}$  in **20-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 19	1e+01 86299	1e+00 7.94e5	1e-01 6.81e6	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<i>24e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>48e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	10	<i>72e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	123	<i>11e+1/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	191	<i>80e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	875	<i>64e+0/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	43	<i>81e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	3446	<i>91e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	1589	<i>11e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	4.5	<i>80e+0/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	20	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>85e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	417	<i>72e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>21e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	19	<i>69e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	116	<i>41e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	3446	<i>95e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	5904	<i>10e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<i>28e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<i>67e+0/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	634	<i>37e+0/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 129: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{109}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

109 Sphere Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.28	1e+01 17	1e+00 32	1e-01 57	1e-02 84	1e-03 114	1e-04 150	1e-05 179	1e-07 248	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	70	20	<i>18e-1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	61	9.1	26	46	67	63	<b>53</b>	<b>65</b>	<b>69</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	93	20	23	25	<b>27</b>	<b>24</b>	100	<i>26e-5/2e3</i>	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	6666	607	493	563	382	280	214	179	129	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>12</b>	11	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	957	41957	<i>12e+0/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	49	7.2	97	<i>99e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	25	2538	<i>74e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	93	23	<i>29e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	50	<b>3.0</b>	191	<i>25e-1/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	25	4.0	8.5	<b>20</b>	63	311	438	428	368	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>11</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	20	3.9	<b>4.9</b>	858	<i>54e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	20	280	985	667	490	<i>13e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	20	5.7	3846	<i>72e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	15	2634	<i>61e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	41	24361	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	100	<i>28e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	23	16	<i>94e-1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	37	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.2</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 130: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{110}$  in **20-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>110 Rosenbrock Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 206	1e+02 2229	1e+01 nan	1e+00 nan	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	5.1	30	<i>56e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<i>18e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	3.3	<b>1</b>	<i>70e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>14e+4/600</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>46e+3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<i>28e+3/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<i>72e+2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	39	53	<i>11e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<i>65e+3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<i>46e+3/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<i>18e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	16	8.0	<i>60e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>3.0</b>	6.1	<i>28e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<i>14e+3/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<i>11e+3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	381	<i>85e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	3170	<i>58e+2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<i>80e+2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<i>36e+3/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	22	73	<i>47e+0/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 131: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{111}$  in **20-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>111 Rosenbrock unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 7558	1e+02 53627	1e+01 nan	1e+00 nan	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	48	<i>99e+1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>23e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	4.0	<i>22e+2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>15e+4/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>44e+3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<i>35e+3/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<i>77e+3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<i>36e+3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<i>11e+4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<i>58e+3/200</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>3.3</b>	<i>15e+2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	196	<i>17e+2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<i>21e+3/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<i>15e+3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<i>51e+3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<i>48e+3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<i>94e+2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNBFIT	<i>34e+3/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<i>76e+2/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 132: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{112}$  in **20-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>112 Rosenbrock Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	27	40	<i>21e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	11	7.5	236	783	926	916	1220	1199	1182	1151	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	21	23	<i>48e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>14e+4/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.0</b>	3.7	<i>20e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	6.1	84	<i>18e+0/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	5.6	18	<i>37e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	80	54	<i>27e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	4.1	<i>19e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<i>34e+0/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	5.1	3.3	487	<b>556</b>	<b>517</b>	<b>500</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>483</b>	<b>476</b>	<b>464</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	4.7	4.6	<i>16e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	108	<i>20e+1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.0</b>	<b>2.7</b>	4743	<i>15e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	5.5	1202	<i>78e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	40	15058	<i>24e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<i>76e+2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	40	<i>15e+2/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.5</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 133: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{113}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	3.8	8.7	13	<i>58e-1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	4.6	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	3.6	6.3	<i>19e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	239	<i>58e+1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	425	<i>22e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	2682	<i>20e+1/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	7.4	52	<i>14e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	5.8	1075	<i>86e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	833	<i>38e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1.7</b>	<i>28e+1/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	27	70	<b>4.4</b>	<i>21e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>2.4</b>	<b>6.1</b>	10	<i>62e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	58	628	<i>22e+1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	103	1.64e5	<i>13e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>2.8</b>	3376	<i>15e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>2.6</b>	15624	<i>20e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.9	10298	<i>95e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	7.5	<i>32e+1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	74	402	<i>12e+0/6e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 134: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{114}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

114 Step-ellipsoid unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	3.7	15	<i>70e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.2	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>2.8</b>	<i>32e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	216	<i>67e+1/700</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	506	<i>34e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	2268	<i>27e+1/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	32	<i>49e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	3.6	<i>30e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1044	<i>53e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>2.5</b>	<i>36e+1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>7.7</b>	<i>27e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	2673	14	<i>17e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	3.2	<b>4.2</b>	<i>67e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	207	<i>30e+1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	234	<i>16e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	80	<i>26e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO <sub>LB</sub> Bounds	12114	<i>37e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO <sub>LB</sub> Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.5	450	<i>11e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	7.4	<i>37e+1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	282	<i>11e+1/6e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 135: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{115}$  in **20-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	4.1	11	244	<i>54e-1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	3.3	6.1	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>2.6</b>	13	17	<i>90e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1911	<i>62e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.9	3.5	<i>21e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	21	319	<i>41e+0/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	3.2	3.8	15	<i>93e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>2.7</b>	23	2730	<i>16e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	17	<b>2.5</b>	89	<i>10e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	3.5	<b>2.6</b>	<i>29e+0/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<b>6.9</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>2.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.7</b>	4.4	465	<i>15e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	91	<i>72e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	7.5	4.7	93812	<i>14e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>2.1</b>	223	<i>32e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>2.7</b>	11	<i>47e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	3.1	1.44e5	<i>11e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	3.3	100	<i>14e+1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	3.5	100	351	1190	1189	1189	1189	1169	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 136: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{116}$  in **20-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>116 Ellipsoid Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 1812	1e+02 9507	1e+01 24887	1e+00 34689	1e-01 44640	1e-02 52062	1e-03 61332	1e-04 75140	1e-05 76648	1e-07 79736	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>10</b>	<i>30e+1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<i>24e+2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>55e+3/700</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>16e+3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<i>95e+2/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<i>16e+3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	781	<i>41e+2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<i>23e+3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<i>23e+3/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.4</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.8</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	26	<i>13e+2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	25	<i>57e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<i>12e+3/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<i>59e+2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<i>60e+2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<i>72e+2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<i>44e+2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<i>18e+3/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	675	<i>14e+2/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 137: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{117}$  in **20-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

117 Ellipsoid unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 30904	1e+02 2.02e5	1e+01 1.28e6	1e+00 2.05e6	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<i>32e+2/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>90e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<i>13e+3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>49e+3/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>18e+3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<i>12e+3/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<i>26e+3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<i>13e+3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<i>32e+3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<i>26e+3/200</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<i>19e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<i>99e+2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<i>36e+2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<i>20e+3/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<i>69e+2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<i>15e+3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<i>17e+3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<i>44e+2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<i>24e+3/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<i>94e+2/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 138: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{118}$  in **20-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

118 Ellipsoid Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	32	341	<i>46e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	6.9	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>6.8</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>8.8</b>	<b>8.8</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	124	<i>10e+2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>46e+3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	3.8	406	<i>13e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	236	<i>32e+1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	18	<i>55e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	58	3551	<i>31e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	7.6	<i>44e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>3.0</b>	85	<i>15e+1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	3.9	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	4.4	6.9	14	34	40	47	44	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>3.5</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	9.0	8.8	474	<i>10e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<i>26e+2/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	18	<i>18e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	598	<i>56e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	2594	<i>78e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<i>53e+2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<i>54e+2/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	3.6	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 139: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{119}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

119 Sum of different powers Gauss											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 139	1e+00 5717	1e-01 21332	1e-02 46929	1e-03 63472	1e-04 67765	1e-05 69961	1e-07 95143	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	6.4	3.6	14	81	<i>31e-2/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	<b>3.8</b>	1	<b>1.7</b>	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	5.7	<b>2.7</b>	1	<i>15e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	743	<i>43e+0/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	415	<i>17e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	2286	27207	<i>17e+0/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	4.6	13	<i>83e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	6.6	682	<i>95e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	721	1046	<i>18e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	5.1	<i>21e+0/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	5.3	4.2	5.8	<b>3.7</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>2.1</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	21	41	<b>5.2</b>	<b>7.0</b>	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	5.7	<b>2.5</b>	12	16	<i>13e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	87	<i>14e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	252	7268	<i>97e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	3.9	1097	<i>11e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	6.5	1998	<i>12e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	5.7	1781	<i>84e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	4.9	<i>18e+0/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	<b>3.6</b>	143	325	<i>18e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 140: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{120}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

120 Sum of different powers unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 2317	1e+00 5.07e5	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	4.9	<b>5.2</b>	<i>58e-1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	7.3	<b>4.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>37e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.1</b>	4.6	<i>20e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	469	<i>34e+0/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	992	<i>20e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	1272	<i>20e+0/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	10	<i>31e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	4.2	292	<i>27e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	3307	<i>34e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	5.3	<i>24e+0/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	3.7	7.9	<b>2.1</b>	<i>39e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	6339	32	<i>17e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	6.3	<b>1</b>	<i>56e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	12	<i>15e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	424	1929	<i>11e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	5.3	<i>27e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	8.5	<i>25e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.1</b>	3.9	97	<i>81e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNBOFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<i>19e+0/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNBOFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>3.6</b>	353	<i>10e+0/6e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 141: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{121}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

121 Sum of different powers Cauchy											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 12	1e+00 38	1e-01 71	1e-02 172	1e-03 465	1e-04 1099	1e-05 1722	1e-07 2870	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	4.7	13	<i>20e-1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	6.5	6.4	<b>6.0</b>	47	<b>36</b>	<b>25</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>20</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	5.3	20	33	<b>43</b>	<i>58e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	2506	<i>33e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	23	33	<i>32e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	65	76199	<i>11e+0/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	10	7.7	134	<i>11e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	5.7	2037	<i>50e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	125	73	<i>53e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	7.4	4.8	<i>62e-1/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	5.1	<b>3.4</b>	12	57	149	121	75	50	39	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	15	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	4.5	3.9	97	9693	<i>18e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	16	<i>60e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.1</b>	25	17	<i>16e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>3.5</b>	1756	<i>64e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	4.7	4065	<i>85e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	3.9	25722	<i>82e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	5.7	<i>16e+0/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 142: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{122}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>122 Schaffer F7 Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 35	1e+00 42522	1e-01 1.32e5	1e-02 2.10e5	1e-03 2.30e5	1e-04 2.43e5	1e-05 6.62e5	1e-07 1.58e6	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<i>22e-1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<i>34e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	81	664	<i>13e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	148	<i>82e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	60	1100	<i>75e-1/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	3.1	<i>63e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	23	<i>59e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	7.0	182	<i>76e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	8.4	<i>92e-1/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>4.5</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	5.2	65	<i>44e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<i>27e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	16	<i>77e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	37	196	<i>57e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	4.7	<i>67e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO <sub>Bounds</sub>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	737	<i>80e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO <sub>Bounds</sub> [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	12	<i>49e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	7.3	<i>98e-1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	3.1	<i>36e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 143: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{123}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>123 Schaffer F7 unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 53	1e+00 1.45e7	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<i>46e-1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>23e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	14	<i>83e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	35	<i>14e+0/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	8.5	130	<i>94e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	141	619	<i>74e-1/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	269	<i>12e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	5215	<i>13e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	248	<i>99e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	7.3	<i>99e-1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	12	<i>23e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	154	<i>77e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>45e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	58	<i>87e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	53	199	<i>64e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	733	<i>92e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	2205	<i>10e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	7.4	<i>53e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	7.0	<i>10e+0/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	405	<i>58e-1/5e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]



Table 144: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{124}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 10	1e+00 98	1e-01 4571	1e-02 6224	1e-03 14010	1e-04 34287	1e-05 64191	1e-07 92581	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	4.9	<i>28e-1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	4.6	11	<b>1.5</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	8.7	13	<i>37e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	120	<i>13e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	3.7	14	<i>51e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	12	467	<i>69e-1/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	3.6	<i>28e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	7.6	<i>60e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	117	<i>66e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	4.1	<i>69e-1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>7.8</b>	8.3	<b>14</b>	<b>7.8</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1.9</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	3.3	<b>1</b>	<b>7.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>41e-5/1e4</i>	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	15201	<i>16e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	12	<i>66e-1/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	4.3	66	<i>36e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	158	<i>54e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO.Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1.7</b>	35	<i>57e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO.Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	46	<i>51e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	6.7	<i>85e-1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.6</b>	510	<i>24e-3/4e5</i>	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 145: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{125}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 0.05	1e+00 0.05	1e-01 0.05	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1	2470	<i>38e-2/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	1013	<i>24e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.1	1796	<i>50e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	53	<i>21e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.93e5	<i>96e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	1.1	2.42e7	<i>11e-1/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	1.2	7833	<i>81e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.2	3.12e5	<i>40e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	1.7	<b>856</b>	<i>44e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	<i>14e-1/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	<b>681</b>	<i>24e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	20422	<i>59e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.2	1496	<i>39e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	6.52e5	<i>75e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.1	2.88e5	<i>72e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.1	1.41e6	<i>86e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1	5.89e5	<i>80e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1.1	1629	<i>91e-2/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	42410	<i>44e-2/2e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 146: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{126}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>126 Griewank-Rosenbrock unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 0.05	1e+00 0.05	1e-01 0.05	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1	2474	<i>57e-2/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	<b>1320</b>	<i>31e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1	32579	<i>94e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	23	<i>17e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.41e6	<i>11e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	81	7.73e7	<i>12e-1/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	1.1	<i>13e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.2	2.30e6	<i>10e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	311	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	<i>14e-1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	5691	<i>34e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	1.81e5	<i>89e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	2511	<i>52e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	3.07e6	<i>85e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1.1	3.10e6	<i>10e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1	<i>14e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	3.15e5	<i>76e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	1	<b>1422</b>	<i>86e-2/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	1.31e6	<i>67e-2/2e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 147: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{127}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 0.05	1e+00 0.05	1e-01 0.05	1e-02 1.03e6	1e-03 1.49e7	1e-04 1.49e7	1e-05 1.49e7	1e-07 1.49e7	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1.3	1427	<i>46e-2/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	756	1.47e6	1	<i>83e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.1	1607	<i>42e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	140	<i>20e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	29546	<i>81e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	1	7.50e7	<i>11e-1/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	1.1	946	<i>65e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	1.1	9.71e5	<i>73e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	1	1360	<i>44e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1.1	<i>15e-1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	560	3.33e6	1.5	1	1	1	1	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	181	1.70e5	<i>83e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	1.1	416	<i>43e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	1	1	1	<i>25e-3/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1.3	28036	<i>62e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1	1.22e6	<i>86e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	1.1	2.28e6	<i>99e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.3	5.25e5	<i>79e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNBOFIT	1	1	1.1	1704	<i>87e-2/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	SNBOFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	1	346	1.08e6	<i>45e-3/2e6</i>	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 148: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{128}$  in **20-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>128 Gallagher Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 1.10e5	1e+00 7.82e5	1e-01 9.07e5	1e-02 9.14e5	1e-03 9.20e5	1e-04 9.26e5	1e-05 9.30e5	1e-07 9.42e5	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	15	<b>4.5</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<i>34e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>45e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>75e+0/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>66e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>61e+0/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>66e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>73e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>71e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>69e+0/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>4.2</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<i>65e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	14	<i>30e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>66e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>34e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>67e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>72e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>24e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>68e+0/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	128	<i>35e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 149: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{129}$  in **20-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>129 Gallagher unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 7.15e6	1e+00 1.47e7	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	<i>32e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1	<i>23e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	<i>69e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	<i>76e+0/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>70e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	<i>58e+0/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	<i>72e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	<i>70e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	<i>75e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	<i>68e+0/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	<i>31e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>69e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	<i>27e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	<i>67e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	<i>48e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	<i>67e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	<i>70e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	<i>24e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNOBFIT	1	1	<i>67e+0/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNOBFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	<i>56e+0/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 150: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{130}$  in **20-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>130 Gallagher Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.05	1e+02 0.05	1e+01 245	1e+00 4657	1e-01 12618	1e-02 12648	1e-03 12688	1e-04 12729	1e-05 12755	1e-07 12860	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	17	757	<i>21e-1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	7.1	217	81	83	83	<b>83</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>85</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	10	<i>99e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	137	<i>75e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	<b>2.5</b>	<i>25e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	7521	<i>19e+0/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	6.3	<b>6.3</b>	<i>62e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
EDA-PSO	1	1	5715	<i>49e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	EDA-PSO [5]
full NEWUOA	1	1	19	<i>70e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	full NEWUOA [22]
GLOBAL	1	1	1	<b>1</b>	<i>72e-1/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	GLOBAL [19]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	5.6	74	<b>38</b>	<b>42</b>	<b>67</b>	91	126	322	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
MA-LS-Chain	1	1	24	87	<b>32</b>	<b>38</b>	118	<i>21e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	MA-LS-Chain [18]
MCS	1	1	37	<i>12e+0/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	MCS [15]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	4.2	198	<i>74e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
PSO	1	1	1632	<i>17e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO [6]
PSO_Bounds	1	1	5711	<i>50e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	PSO_Bounds [7]
Monte Carlo	1	1	<i>25e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]
SNBOFIT	1	1	<i>62e+0/300</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	SNBOFIT [16]
VNS (Garcia)	1	1	69	78	48	48	<b>48</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>48</b>	<b>47</b>	VNS (Garcia) [10]

Table 151: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{101}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>101 Sphere moderate Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 6.5	1e+01 15	1e+00 23	1e-01 31	1e-02 39	1e-03 48	1e-04 56	1e-05 64	1e-07 81	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	13	29	37	41	45	48	52	55	68	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	25	37	40	39	38	36	37	37	35	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	37	40	39	38	38	41	<i>25e-5/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<i>30e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	4.4	5.0	5.7	6.4	7.2	7.7	7.9	8.2	<b>8.5</b>	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	4.7	10	31	<i>17e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	8.9	11	12	13	13	13	13	13	13	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1.4</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>4.4</b>	<b>4.7</b>	13	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<i>14e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]



Table 152: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{102}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

102 Sphere moderate unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 8.4	1e+01 21	1e+00 32	1e-01 44	1e-02 55	1e-03 66	1e-04 77	1e-05 89	1e-07 113	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	11	23	28	32	35	38	40	43	59	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	22	30	27	27	26	27	26	<b>26</b>	<b>26</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	30	29	28	28	28	28	<i>29e-5/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<i>29e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>11</b>	31	<i>19e-7/1e4</i>	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	5.3	14	16	102	1247	66129	<i>42e-4/3e5</i>	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>4.0</b>	<b>7.1</b>	23	227	<i>16e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	6.4	8.0	<b>8.7</b>	<b>9.1</b>	<b>9.2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>10</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	57	3870	4.40e5	<i>27e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<i>13e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 153: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{103}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>103 Sphere moderate Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
0.03	5.9	13	31	42	54	68	82	95	124		
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	15	34	28	121	<i>41e-3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	24	47	30	26	24	<b>22</b>	<b>36</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>128</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	41	44	29	28	31	29	<i>33e-5/2e3</i>	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	73	48	29	21	<b>17</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>8.0</b>	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>5.3</b>	1286	<i>13e-3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	4.2	6.1	5.1	258	<i>36e-3/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	5.2	12	62	<i>79e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	10	13	9.1	12	<b>21</b>	49	85	350	562	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6.5</b>	22561	<i>84e-4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<i>14e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 154: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{104}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>104 Rosenbrock moderate Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$
$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	19	243	77238	3.04e5	3.39e5	3.42e5	3.45e5	3.47e5	3.49e5	3.53e5	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	34	21	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>5.3</b>	<i>14e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	23	<b>3.3</b>	<b>4.1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	31	7.9	<i>63e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>50e+4/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>39e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	5.5	45	<b>4.9</b>	9.0	<i>74e-1/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	13	13	<i>96e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	9.4	<b>1.3</b>	18	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.3</b>	<b>7.5</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.4</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>7.3</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.3</b>	5.3	<i>37e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.5</b>	24	<i>45e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<i>93e+3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 155: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{105}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>105 Rosenbrock moderate unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 24	1e+02 310	1e+01 2.00e5	1e+00 5.31e5	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	25	19	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>10e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	21	<b>2.8</b>	<i>35e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	25	7.3	<i>81e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>46e+4/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.4</b>	12	<i>72e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>6.9</b>	1108	<i>96e+0/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	10	17	<i>10e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	7.5	<b>1</b>	<i>35e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>4.9</b>	<i>38e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	20	23274	<i>15e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<i>99e+3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 156: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{106}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>106 Rosenbrock moderate Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$
$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	17	48	1731	2271	2388	2452	2488	2518	2544	2596	$\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	36	60	<b>38</b>	<b>162</b>	<i>39e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	26	18	<i>27e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	34	71	<i>10e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>12e+3/4e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>28e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	5.8	22	<b>14</b>	<b>200</b>	<i>73e-2/7e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	15	95	<i>11e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	10	6.5	<i>29e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	8311	<i>13e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<i>92e+3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 157: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{107}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>107 Sphere Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 225	1e+01 14689	1e+00 68882	1e-01 93150	1e-02 96959	1e-03 1.01e5	1e-04 1.05e5	1e-05 1.09e5	1e-07 1.17e5	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	10	<i>35e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<i>22e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<i>29e+1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<i>22e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<i>20e+1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<i>19e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>8.5</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.9</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.4</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	657	<i>17e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<i>17e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<i>13e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 158: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{108}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

108 Sphere unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>30</b>	<i>11e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>46e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<i>22e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<i>32e+1/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<i>22e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<i>23e+1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<i>31e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>1.0</b>	<i>82e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<i>29e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<i>18e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<i>14e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 159: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{109}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>109 Sphere Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 7.7	1e+01 21	1e+00 36	1e-01 63	1e-02 92	1e-03 124	1e-04 156	1e-05 188	1e-07 251	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	12	14436	<i>11e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	16	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>15</b>	<b>46</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>136</b>	<b>152</b>	<b>149</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	31	28	<b>26</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>46</b>	<i>10e-3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<i>33e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	5.6	387	<i>87e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	240	<i>37e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>4.8</b>	60	<i>62e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	7.4	<b>10</b>	27	87	233	<b>493</b>	<b>431</b>	<b>415</b>	<b>352</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	1553	<i>52e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<i>13e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]



Table 160: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{110}$  in **40-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>110 Rosenbrock Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 1761	1e+02 15341	1e+01 nan	1e+00 nan	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	35	<i>73e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.0</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>38e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<i>72e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>52e+4/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>24e+4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<i>23e+4/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<i>35e+4/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.3</b>	<b>8.9</b>	<i>39e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<i>40e+3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<i>14e+4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<i>92e+3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 161: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{111}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>111 Rosenbrock unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 16265	1e+02 2.77e5	1e+01 nan	1e+00 nan	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<i>30e+3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>71e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<i>21e+3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>46e+4/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>27e+4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<i>24e+4/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<i>44e+4/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>7.1</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<i>59e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<i>49e+4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<i>16e+4/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<i>94e+3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 162: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{112}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>112 Rosenbrock Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	33	1814	<i>11e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	27	<b>5.5</b>	<i>21e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	32	13	<i>89e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>51e+4/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.2</b>	16	<i>63e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	5.0	1847	<i>97e+0/3e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	15	<i>24e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	10	<b>2.4</b>	<i>28e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	43	<i>51e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<i>89e+3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 163: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{113}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>113 Step-ellipsoid Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>2.1</b>	<b>75</b>	<i>10e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.9</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	4.0	<i>18e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>19e+2/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	870	<i>11e+2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	3240	<i>89e+1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	120	<i>13e+2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>2.4</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	59	<i>51e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	1929	<i>72e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	24	<i>52e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 164: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{114}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>114 Step-ellipsoid unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>2.1</b>	<i>50e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>12e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	12	<i>94e+1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>19e+2/700</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	434	<i>13e+2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	992	<i>99e+1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<i>20e+2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>10</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>5.7</b>	<i>19e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<i>19e+2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	1239	<i>74e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	11	<i>54e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 165: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{115}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>115 Step-ellipsoid Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	8.4	16	<i>41e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	11	<b>12</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	13	25	<i>25e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>17e+2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1.1</b>	475	<i>10e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	6.7	<i>17e+1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	3.6	24	<i>59e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	4.6	<b>4.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>4.5</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>6.0</b>	<b>5.9</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>29</b>	<b>15</b>	<i>31e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>2.0</b>	2.62e5	<i>12e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	393	<i>53e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 166: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{116}$  in **40-D**, in *italics* is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>116 Ellipsoid Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 13071	1e+02 70044	1e+01 1.04e5	1e+00 1.08e5	1e-01 1.13e5	1e-02 1.17e5	1e-03 1.21e5	1e-04 1.25e5	1e-05 1.28e5	1e-07 1.36e5	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<i>65e+2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<i>20e+3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>19e+4/500</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>93e+3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<i>71e+3/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<i>14e+4/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>6.1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.6</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>3.3</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<i>52e+3/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<i>49e+3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<i>37e+3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 167: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{117}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>117 Ellipsoid unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 1.35e5	1e+02 1.42e6	1e+01 4.93e6	1e+00 nan	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<i>31e+3/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>90e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<i>58e+3/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>16e+4/400</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<i>12e+4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<i>82e+3/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<i>18e+4/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>3.3</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<i>13e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<i>14e+4/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<i>50e+3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<i>37e+3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]



Table 168: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{118}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>118 Ellipsoid Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$	1e+03	1e+02	1e+01	1e+00	1e-01	1e-02	1e-03	1e-04	1e-05	1e-07	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$
$ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	180	363	980	1197	2046	2406	2717	2918	3113	3289	$ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	27	<i>38e+1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<b>3.2</b>	<b>10</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	<b>16</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<i>28e+2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<i>16e+4/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	6.0	<i>46e+1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	14914	<i>13e+2/4e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<i>24e+2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>6.5</b>	<b>7.3</b>	<b>18</b>	<b>23</b>	<b>26</b>	<b>24</b>	<b>23</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	6583	<i>96e+1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<i>38e+3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 169: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{119}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>119 Sum of different powers Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 1.3	1e+01 2341	1e+00 53151	1e-01 95066	1e-02 1.17e5	1e-03 2.07e5	1e-04 2.57e5	1e-05 3.02e5	1e-07 4.14e5	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	22	<i>88e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>93e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	667	<i>95e+0/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	185	<i>51e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	688	<i>43e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.1</b>	8.6	<i>35e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	<b>2.5</b>	<b>3.3</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.2</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	4.1	4.0	<i>26e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1.7</b>	72	<i>36e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<i>28e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 170: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{120}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

120 Sum of different powers unif											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 1.0	1e+01 67582	1e+00 nan	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>23e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>2.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>30e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<i>53e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	3.7	553	<i>82e+0/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	404	<i>61e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	1229	<i>47e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	1339	<i>95e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	5.4	<b>3.9</b>	<i>48e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	1538	<i>61e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	221	<i>39e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	<i>29e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 171: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{121}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>121 Sum of different powers Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 1.4	1e+01 18	1e+00 43	1e-01 84	1e-02 205	1e-03 690	1e-04 1429	1e-05 2435	1e-07 5045	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	1644	<i>84e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>14</b>	<b>13</b>	<b>37</b>	<b>131</b>	<b>72</b>	<b>35</b>	<b>21</b>	<b>11</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>2.3</b>	33	35	<i>38e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	1603	<i>92e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.9</b>	2431	<i>14e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	35	<i>27e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1.1</b>	3.4	28	<i>54e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>8.3</b>	<b>28</b>	<b>100</b>	<b>309</b>	<b>128</b>	<b>103</b>	<b>113</b>	<b>65</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.2</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>2.7</b>	48003	<i>95e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<i>28e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 172: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{122}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>122 Schaffer F7 Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 0.03	1e+01 123	1e+00 1.99e5	1e-01 3.96e5	1e-02 5.96e5	1e-03 9.37e5	1e-04 1.45e6	1e-05 1.50e7	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	7.9	<i>58e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<i>11e-5/1e6</i>	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.1</b>	<i>59e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	37	<i>18e+0/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	1155	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	11	<i>12e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	53	<i>12e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1.7</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>49e-6/1e6</i>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	114	<i>99e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	60	14608	<i>10e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	1196	<i>88e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 173: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{123}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>123 Schaffer F7 unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 0.03	1e+01 331	1e+00 nan	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.5</b>	<b>20</b>	<i>82e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>43e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<i>13e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	28	<i>18e+0/900</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	434	<i>14e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	10	<i>12e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<i>20e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>11</b>	<i>46e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>13e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	34	13988	<i>11e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	473	<i>88e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 174: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{124}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>124 Schaffer F7 Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 0.03	1e+01 14	1e+00 1036	1e-01 7340	1e-02 10114	1e-03 22464	1e-04 33164	1e-05 38223	1e-07 56883	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	13	<i>59e-1/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	10	<b>1</b>	<b>3.9</b>	<b>3.0</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	20	3.8	<i>11e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	184	<i>17e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	497	<i>95e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	1.62e5	<i>11e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>8.2</b>	<i>58e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1.3</b>	<b>4.6</b>	<b>2.6</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>17</b>	<b>7.9</b>	<b>5.4</b>	<b>4.8</b>	<b>3.3</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	3.1	<b>1</b>	<b>2.4</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>16e-4/1e4</i>	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	4.2	4639	<i>84e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	12304	<i>89e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 175: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{best}$  on  $f_{125}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>125 Griewank-Rosenbrock Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 0.03	1e+01 0.03	1e+00 115	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{target}$ $ERT_{best}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>1.8</b>	<i>64e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.4</b>	<i>44e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<b>2.0</b>	<i>69e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>28e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>15e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	7.1	<i>20e-1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	244	<i>12e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>44e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	1279	<i>11e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	3.5	<i>15e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>14e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]



Table 176: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{126}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>126 Griewank-Rosenbrock unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 0.03	1e+01 0.03	1e+00 218	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	1.1	10	<i>81e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1.1	1	<i>50e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	1.1	<i>12e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	28	<i>29e-1/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	1	<i>16e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	1	<i>20e-1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	1.1	<i>23e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	4.3	<i>51e-2/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	1	<i>17e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	1	<i>16e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	1	1	1.1	<i>14e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 177: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{127}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>127 Griewank-Rosenbrock Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 0.03	1e+01 0.03	1e+00 19	1e-01 44721	1e-02 2.29e6	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta\text{ftarget}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/\text{D}$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	6.6	<i>70e-2/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>6.6</b>	<b>2.3</b>	<b>6.4</b>	<i>19e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	12	<i>59e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	302	<i>28e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>13e-1/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>20e-1/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	49	<i>95e-2/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.2</b>	<b>3.7</b>	<b>3.5</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>11e-3/1e6</i>	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>16e-2/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	7.95e5	<i>11e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.1</b>	<i>15e-1/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 178: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{128}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>128 Gallagher Gauss</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 0.03	1e+01 1.06e6	1e+00 3.25e6	1e-01 6.76e6	1e-02 6.76e6	1e-03 6.77e6	1e-04 6.77e6	1e-05 6.77e6	1e-07 6.78e6	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	<i>70e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	<i>73e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	<i>84e+0/1e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>81e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	<i>79e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	<i>80e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	3.1	1.4	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	1.0	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>82e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	<i>74e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	1	1	<i>68e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 179: Running time excess  $ERT/ERT_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{129}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>129 Gallagher unif</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 0.03	1e+01 nan	1e+00 nan	1e-01 nan	1e-02 nan	1e-03 nan	1e-04 nan	1e-05 nan	1e-07 nan	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $ERT_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	1	1	<i>70e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	<i>66e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	1	1	<i>81e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	1	1	<i>84e+0/800</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>80e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	1	1	<i>79e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	1	1	<i>84e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	1	1	<i>66e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	1	1	<i>84e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	1	1	<i>74e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	1	1	<i>68e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

Table 180: Running time excess  $\text{ERT}/\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}$  on  $f_{130}$  in **40-D**, in italics is given the median final function value and the median number of function evaluations to reach this value divided by dimension

<b>130 Gallagher Cauchy</b>											
$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$	1e+03 0.03	1e+02 0.03	1e+01 317	1e+00 6925	1e-01 42118	1e-02 42165	1e-03 42224	1e-04 42282	1e-05 42361	1e-07 42474	$\Delta f_{\text{target}}$ $\text{ERT}_{\text{best}}/D$
ALPS-GA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>42e+0/1e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	ALPS-GA [14]
AMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	7.5	101	<b>95</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>95</b>	AMaLGaM IDEA [4]
BayEDAcG	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.8</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>17e-1/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	BayEDAcG [9]
BFGS	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>83e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	BFGS [21]
(1+1)-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>47e+0/1e4</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-CMA-ES [2]
DASA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>75e+0/2e5</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	DASA [17]
DEPSO	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	12	<i>20e+0/2e3</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	DEPSO [11]
iAMaLGaM IDEA	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>3.4</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>50</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>70</b>	<b>97</b>	<b>97</b>	iAMaLGaM IDEA [4]
IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1.6</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	IPOP-SEP-CMA-ES [20]
(1+1)-ES	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	21720	<i>20e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	(1+1)-ES [1]
Monte Carlo	<b>1</b>	<b>1</b>	<i>68e+0/1e6</i>	.	.	.	.	.	.	.	Monte Carlo [3]

## References

- [1] Anne Auger. Benchmarking the (1+1)-ES with one-fifth success rule on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [23], pages 2453–2458.
- [2] Anne Auger and Nikolaus Hansen. Benchmarking the (1+1)-CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [23], pages 2467–2472.
- [3] Anne Auger and Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the pure random search on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [23], pages 2485–2490.
- [4] Peter A. N. Bosman, Jörn Grahl, and Dirk Thierens. AMaLGaM IDEAs in noisy black-box optimization benchmarking. In Rothlauf [23], pages 2351–2358.
- [5] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using an EDA and PSO hybrid. In Rothlauf [23], pages 2263–2268.
- [6] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using particle swarm optimization. In Rothlauf [23], pages 2269–2274.
- [7] Mohammed El-Abd and Mohamed S. Kamel. Black-box optimization benchmarking for noiseless function testbed using PSO\_Bounds. In Rothlauf [23], pages 2275–2280.
- [8] S. Finck, N. Hansen, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Presentation of the noisy functions. Technical Report 2009/21, Research Center PPE, 2009.
- [9] Marcus R. Gallagher. Black-box optimization benchmarking: results for the BayEDAcG algorithm on the noisy function testbed. In Rothlauf [23], pages 2383–2388.
- [10] Carlos García-Martínez and Manuel Lozano. A continuous variable neighbourhood search based on specialised EAs: application to the noisy BBO-benchmark 2009 testbed. In Rothlauf [23], pages 2367–2374.
- [11] José García-Nieto, Enrique Alba, and Javier Apolloni. Particle swarm hybridized with differential evolution: black box optimization benchmarking for noisy functions. In Rothlauf [23], pages 2343–2350.
- [12] N. Hansen, A. Auger, S. Finck, and R. Ros. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Experimental setup. Technical Report RR-6828, INRIA, 2009.
- [13] N. Hansen, S. Finck, R. Ros, and A. Auger. Real-parameter black-box optimization benchmarking 2009: Noisy functions definitions. Technical Report RR-6869, INRIA, 2009.
- [14] Gregory S. Hornby. The age-layered population structure (ALPS) evolutionary algorithm. <http://coco.gforge.inria.fr/doku.php?id=bbob-2009-results>, July 2009. Noisy testbed.

- [15] Waltraud Huyer and Arnold Neumaier. Benchmarking of MCS on the noisy function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 988.
- [16] Waltraud Huyer and Arnold Neumaier. Benchmarking of SNOBFIT on the noisy function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 987.
- [17] Peter Korosec and Jurij Silc. A stigmergy-based algorithm for black-box optimization: noisy function testbed. In Rothlauf [23], pages 2375–2382.
- [18] Daniel Molina, Manuel Lozano, and Francisco Herrera. A memetic algorithm using local search chaining for black-box optimization benchmarking 2009 for noisy functions. In Rothlauf [23], pages 2359–2366.
- [19] László Pál, Tibor Csendes, Mihály Csaba Markót, and Arnold Neumaier. BBO-benchmarking of the GLOBAL method for the noisy function testbed. <http://www.mat.univie.ac.at/~neum/papers.html>, 2009. P. 985.
- [20] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking sep-CMA-ES on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [23], pages 2441–2446.
- [21] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the BFGS algorithm on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [23], pages 2415–2420.
- [22] Raymond Ros. Benchmarking the NEWUOA on the BBOB-2009 noisy testbed. In Rothlauf [23], pages 2429–2434.
- [23] Franz Rothlauf, editor. *Genetic and Evolutionary Computation Conference, GECCO 2009, Proceedings, Montreal, Québec, Canada, July 8-12, 2009, Companion Material*. ACM, 2009.



---

Centre de recherche INRIA Saclay – Île-de-France  
Parc Orsay Université - ZAC des Vignes  
4, rue Jacques Monod - 91893 Orsay Cedex (France)

Centre de recherche INRIA Bordeaux – Sud Ouest : Domaine Universitaire - 351, cours de la Libération - 33405 Talence Cedex  
Centre de recherche INRIA Grenoble – Rhône-Alpes : 655, avenue de l'Europe - 38334 Montbonnot Saint-Ismier  
Centre de recherche INRIA Lille – Nord Europe : Parc Scientifique de la Haute Borne - 40, avenue Halley - 59650 Villeneuve d'Ascq  
Centre de recherche INRIA Nancy – Grand Est : LORIA, Technopôle de Nancy-Brabois - Campus scientifique  
615, rue du Jardin Botanique - BP 101 - 54602 Villers-lès-Nancy Cedex  
Centre de recherche INRIA Paris – Rocquencourt : Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt - BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex  
Centre de recherche INRIA Rennes – Bretagne Atlantique : IRISA, Campus universitaire de Beaulieu - 35042 Rennes Cedex  
Centre de recherche INRIA Sophia Antipolis – Méditerranée : 2004, route des Lucioles - BP 93 - 06902 Sophia Antipolis Cedex

---

Éditeur  
INRIA - Domaine de Voluceau - Rocquencourt, BP 105 - 78153 Le Chesnay Cedex (France)  
<http://www.inria.fr>  
ISSN 0249-0803